This document contains general guidelines for the reporting of statistics in psychology research. The details of statistical reporting vary slightly among different areas of science and also among different journals.

General Guidelines

Rounding Numbers

For numbers greater than 100, report to the nearest whole number (e.g., M = 6254). For numbers between 10 and 100, report to one decimal place (e.g., M = 23.4). For numbers between 0.10 and 10, report to two decimal places (e.g., M = 4.34, SD = 0.93). For numbers less than 0.10, report to three decimal places, or however many digits you need to have a non-zero number (e.g., M = 0.014, SEM = 0.0004).

For numbers	Round to	SPSS	Report
Greater than 100	Whole number	1034.963	1035
10 - 100	1 decimal place	11.4378	11.4
0.10 - 10	2 decimal places	4.3682	4.37
0.001 - 0.10	3 decimal places	0.0352	0.035
Less than 0.001	As many digits as needed for non-zero	0.00038	0.0004

Do not report any decimal places if you are reporting something that can only be a whole number. For example, the number of participants in a study should be reported as N = 5, not N = 5.0.

Report exact p-values (not p < .05), even for non-significant results. Round as above, unless SPSS gives a p-value of .000; then report p < .001. Two-tailed p-values are assumed. If you are reporting a one-tailed p-value, you must say so.

Omit the leading zero from p-values, correlation coefficients (r), partial eta-squared (η_p^2), and other numbers that cannot ever be greater than 1.0 (e.g., p = .043, not p = 0.043).

Statistical Abbreviations

Abbreviations using Latin letters, such as mean (M) and standard deviation (SD), should be italicised, while abbreviations using Greek letters, such as partial eta-squared (η_p^2), should not be italicised and can be written out in full if you cannot use Greek letters. There should be a space before and after equal signs. The abbreviations should only be used inside of parentheses; spell out the names otherwise.

Inferential statistics should generally be reported in the style of: "statistic(degrees of freedom) = value, p = value, effect size statistic = value"

Statistic	Example
Mean and standard deviation	M = 3.45, SD = 1.21
Mann-Whitney	<i>U</i> = 67.5, <i>p</i> = .034, <i>r</i> = .38
Wilcoxon signed-ranks	Z = 4.21, p < .001
Sign test	Z = 3.47, p = .001
t-test	t(19) = 2.45, p = .031, d = 0.54
ANOVA	$F(2, 1279) = 6.15, p = .002, \eta_p^2 = 0.010$
Pearson's correlation	r(1282) = .13, p < .001

Descriptive Statistics

Means and standard deviations should be given either in the text or in a table, but not both.

			Descri	ptive Statistics				
	N Mean			Std. Deviation	Šķes	vness	Kur	1 0 8is
	Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error	Statistič	Statistic	Std. Error	Statistic	Std. Error
àge	2351	25,480	.1638	7.9445	1.869	.050	3,930	.101
Valid N (listwise)	2351		İ			j		

- **66** The average age of participants was 25.5 years (SD = 7.94).
- 66 The age of participants ranged from 18 to 70 years (M = 25.5, SD = 7.94). Age was non-normally distributed, with skewness of 1.87 (SE = 0.05) and kurtosis of 3.93 (SE = 0.10)
- 66 Participants were 98 men and 132 women aged 17 to 25 years (men: *M* = 19.2, *SD* = 2.32; women: *M* = 19.6, *SD* = 2.54).

Non-parametric tests

Do not report means and standard deviations for non-parametric tests. Report the median and range in the text or in a table. The statistics U and Z should be capitalised and italicised. A measure of effect size, r, can be calculated by dividing Z by the square root of N $(r = Z / \sqrt{N})$.

Mann-Whitney Test (2 Independent Samples...)

		R	anks		Test Statistics	D
	lli a	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	Advanced with the following from the second	5172
sra	0	17	19.03	323.50	Mann-Whitney U	67,500
	74.	14	12.32	172.50	Wilcoxon W	172.500
	Total	31			Z	-2.119
					Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.034
					Exact Sig. [2*(1-tailed	.040ª
					a. Not corrected for tie	
					b. Grouping Variable: g	iill

66 A Mann-Whitney test indicated that self-rated attractiveness was greater for women who were not using oral contraceptives (Mdn = 5) than for women who were using oral contraceptives (Mdn = 4), U = 67.5, p = .034, r = .38.

Wilcoxon Signed-ranks Test (2 Related Samples...)

	R.	inks			Test Statistic	is ^b
		N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks		male -
male - female	Negative Ranks	25ª	1.7.48	437.00		(emale
	Positive Ranks	5 b	5.60	28.00	Z	-4.2072
	Ties	1 C	-		Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	Total	31	alla esta esta esta esta esta esta esta est		a. Based on positive r	ariks.
a. male < fe	male		}	<i></i>	 b. Wilcoxon Signed Ra 	nks Test
b. male > fe	male					
c. male = fer	nale					

66 A Wilcoxon Signed-ranks test indicated that femininity was preferred more in female faces (Mdn = 0.85) than in male faces (Mdn = 0.65), Z = 4.21, p < .001, r = .76.

Sign Test (2 Related Samples...)

	Frequencies		Test Statistic	5%
male - female	Negative Differences ^b Positive Differences ^b Ties ^c Total	25 25 1	Z Asymp . Sig. (2-tailed) a . Sign Test	male - female -3.469 .001
a, male < fe b, male > fe		Zanai (province a province a province a province a pr	 -	

66 A sign test indicated that femininity was preferred more in female faces than in male faces, Z = 3.47, p = .001.

T-tests

Report degrees of freedom in parentheses. The statistics *t*, *p* and Cohen's *d* should be reported and italicised.

One-sample t-test

	0	re-Sample	: Statistics					One-Samp	le Test		
		E &	Stel.	Sto. Error			,	Test \	/alue = 3.5		
	N	Mean	Deviation	Mean	i		ļ			95%	C
female	31	4.503	.6957	.1250		l.		Olm C	B. 6	***************************************	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
male	31	3.4581	.73179	.13143	}	tt	df	Sig. (2 – tailed)	Mean Difference	Lower	Uppe
					female	8.029	30	.000	1,0032	.748	1.25
					mate	319	30	.752	04194	-3104	226

- One-sample t-test indicated that femininity preferences were greater than the chance level of 3.5 for female faces (M = 4.50, SD = 0.70), t(30) = 8.01, p < .001, d = 1.44, but not for male faces (M = 3.46, SD = 0.73), t(30) = -0.32, p = .75, d = 0.057.
- 66 The number of masculine faces chosen out of 20 possible was compared to the chance value of 10 using a one-sample t-test. Masculine faces were chosen more often than chance, t(76) = 4.35, p = .004, d = 0.35.

Paired-samples t-test

Report paired-samples t-tests in the same way as one-sample t-tests.

		Paired 5	Samples 5	itatistics						
		Mean	N	Std. Devlation	Std. Error Mean					
Palif î.	pathogen [26,39	722	7.414	.276					
	sexual	18.03	722	9,490	.353					
					Paired Samp	les Test				
					,	Paired Differe	ences			
						95% Confidenc the Diffe	e Interval of rence			
		İ	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2 ~ tailed)
Pair 1	pathogen -		8.353	9.617	358	7,650	9.056	23.338	721	.00

66 A paired-samples t-test indicated that scores were significantly higher for the pathogen subscale (M = 26.4, SD = 7.41) than for the sexual subscale (M = 18.0, SD = 9.49), t(721) = 23.3, p < .001, d = 0.87.

Scores on the pathogen subscale (M = 26.4, SD = 7.41) were higher than scores on the sexual subscale (M = 18.0, SD = 9.49), t(721) = 23.3, p < .001, d = 0.87. A onetailed p-value is reported due to the strong prediction of this effect.

Independent-samples t-test

		Gro	up Statist	ics								
	sex	и	Mean	Std. Devlation	Skd. Ero Mean	OK						
athégen	male female	201 535	24.42 27.64	7.58	1	942 912						
		***************************************		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,								
						Indepen	dent Sample	s Test				
		····	***************************************									
			1 6	ivene's Test for Varian	Equality of es			ŧ	test for Equality	of Means		
			"	ivene's Test for Varias:	Equality of			t	test for Equality	of Means	95% Confidence the Diffe	e interval of tence
				vene's Test for Varia:	Equality of es		₫Ĕ	Sig. (2- tailed)	test for Equality Mean Difference	of Means Sid. Error Difference	95% Confidence the Diffe Lower	e Haerval of Force Upper
aithogen	Egosi var essumed		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	vene's Test for Varies:	85	-4.301	di 734		Mean		the Diffe	fence

66 An independent-samples t-test indicated that scores were significantly higher for women (M = 27.0, SD = 7.21) than for men (M = 24.2, SD = 7.69), t(734) = 4.30, p < .001, d = 0.35.

If Levene's test for equality of variances is significant, report the statistics for the row equal variances not assumed with the altered degrees of freedom rounded to the nearest whole number.

Scores on the pathogen subscale were higher for women (M = 27.0, SD = 7.21) than for men (M = 24.2, SD = 7.69), t(340) = 4.30, p < .001, d = 0.35. Levene's test indicated unequal variances (F = 3.56, p = .043), so degrees of freedom were adjusted from 734 to 340.

ANOVAs

ANOVAs have two degrees of freedom to report. Report the between-groups df first and the within-groups df second, separated by a comma and a space (e.g., F(1, 237) = 3.45). The measure of effect size, partial eta-squared (η_p^2), may be written out or abbreviated, omits the leading zero and is not italicised.

One-way ANOVAs and Post-hocs

	Tests	ir Betwe	en-Subjects Ef	Tects			femal			Multiple Con	npansons		
Dependent Variab	le-female						Tukey	HSD					
]			1	Pacsa						95% Confid	ence întervai
Source	Type III Sum of Squares	ďf	Mean Square	F	Sig.	f Eta Squar ed	(B) 5733	(f) 5/23	Mean Difference (I-	Std. Ecror	Sea.	Lower Sound	Upper Sour-
Corrected Model	4,7664	5	2,343	6.152	.602	.010	1	3780	116"	.0413	.614	212	02
Intercept	25473.678	1.	25473.878	65762.819	.666	.981		3	141	.0446	.004	245	03
sra3	4.766	2	2,3\$3	6.152	.002	.010	2	Į.	.116	.0413	.014	,019	.2)
Error	495.433	1279	.387					3.	026	.0431	158.	··. 127	.07
Total	26234.842	1282					3	1	.141	.0440	,004	.036	.24
Corrected Total	\$20,199	3,284		<u> </u>			1	2	.026	.0431	.821	075	.12
a, R Squared »	.910 iAdjuster	f A Scour	(800, = 29				Bas Th	ed on al	oseived means. eim is Mean Squi	ire(Eiror)	387.		

Analysis of variance showed a main effect of self-rated attractiveness (SRA) on preferences for femininity in female faces, F(2, 1279) = 6.15, p = .002, $\eta_p^2 = .010$. Post-hoc analyses using Tukey's HSD indicated that femininity preferences were lower for participants with low SRA than for participants with average SRA (p = .014) and high SRA (p = .004), but femininity preferences did not differ significantly between participants with average and high SRA (p = .82).

2-way Factorial ANOVAs

			Dependent Variab		sis or are	tween-Subjects	Ettecta		
i etwe	en-Subje	ects Factors		Type 86 Som of Squares	df	Mean Square	f	Siq.	Partial Eta. Squared
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Corrected Model	6.943*	\$	1.389	3.592	.003	.01.4
ira3		435	Intercept	24670,105	1	24670.105	63818,861	.000	.980
2143	,	477	sra3	4.721	2	2.360	6.106	.002	.009
	* [370	pilt	1.694	1	1.694	4.381	.037	.003
湖	0	762	ska3 "big	.335	2	.167	.433	.649	.001
Sa keri.	1	520	Error	493.256	1276	.387			
		220	Total	26234.842	1282		ĺ		
			Corrected Total	500.199	1251			- 1	

66 A 3x2 ANOVA with self-rated attractiveness (low, average, high) and oral contraceptive use (true, false) as between-subjects factors revealed a main effects of SRA, F(2, 1276) = 6.11, p = .002, $η_p^2 = .009$, and oral contraceptive use, F(1, 1276) = 4.38, p = .037, $η_p^2 = 0.003$. These main effects were not qualified by an interaction between SRA and oral contraceptive use, F(2, 1276) = 0.43, p = .65, $η_p^2 = .001$.

3-way ANOVAs and Higher

Although some textbooks suggest that you report all main effects and interactions, even if not significant, this reduces the understandability of the results of a complex design (i.e. 3-way or higher). Report all significant effects and all predicted effects, even if not significant. If there are more than two non-significant effects that are irrelevant to your main hypotheses (e.g. you predicted an interaction among three factors, but did not predict any main effects or 2-way interactions), you can summarise them as in the example below.

	Tests of	Aithin	-Subjects Ef	fects			Tests of Between-Subjects Effects						
Measure:MEASURE_1 Easilon Corrections:Soh	erkky Assum	:d					Measure:Mi Transforme	:ASURE_L d VariaBle:Aver	වෙලි				
Source	Type Iti Sum of Squares	ďĨ	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partial Eta Squared	Saurce	Type III Sam of Squares	dif	Mean Square	F	Sig.	Partiai Eta Squared
facesex	513.103	1	511.103	1371,811	.000	.518	intercept	39807.825	1	39807.825	81063.827	.000	.985
facesex * pill.	1.871	1	1.871	5.022	.025	.004	pill	.223	1	.223	.455	.500	.000
facesex " sra3	5.144	ž	2.572	6,904	.001	.011	sra:3	.889	. 2	.445	.906	.405	.001
facesex " pill * sra3	.049	2	.023	.061	.941	.000	pi% + sra3	.923	2	.462	.940	.391	.003
Error(facesex)	475,406		-373				Error	626.448	1276	491		ĺ	

6.6 A mixed-design ANOVA with sex of face (male, female) as a within-subjects factor and self-rated attractiveness (low, average, high) and oral contraceptive use (true, false) as between-subjects factors revealed a main effect of sex of face, F(1, 1276) = 1372, p < .001, $η_p^2 = .52$. This was qualified by interactions between sex of face and SRA, F(2, 1276) = 6.90, p = .001, $η_p^2 = .011$, and between sex of face and oral contraceptive use, F(1, 1276) = 5.02, p = .025, $η_p^2 = .004$. The predicted interaction among sex of face, SRA and oral contraceptive use was not significant, F(2, 1276) = 0.06, p = .94, $η_p^2 < .001$. All other main effects and interactions were non-significant and irrelevant to our hypotheses, all F ≤ 0.94, p ≥ .39, $η_p^2 ≤ .001$.

Violations of Sphericity and Greenhouse-Geisser Corrections

ANOVAs are not robust to violations of sphericity, but can be easily corrected. For each within-subjects factor with more than two levels, check if Mauchly's test is significant. If so, report chi-squared (χ^2), degrees of freedom, p and epsilon (ϵ) as below and report the Greenhouse-Geisser corrected values for any effects involving this factor (rounded to the appropriate decimal place). SPSS will report a chi-squared of .000 and no p-value for within-subjects factors with only two levels; corrections are not needed.

Measure:ME	ASURE 1	····												
Watsin							£psilon ^a							
Subjects	Mauchly's W	Approx. Chi- Square	đť	Sìg.	Greenho Geissi		Huynh-Feldt	Lower-bo	นางย่					
subscale	.990	36,344	2	.000		.953	.956		500					
Tests the	malf hyporhesi	s that the error co-	ariance ma	urix of th	e orthonen	nahzed	transformed	dependenti	variables is prop	Acrestro	to an identity ma	trin.		
a. May be	uithe of heau	it the degrees of f	eedom for i	the avera	aned rests	of stanif	icance, Correc	red tests ar	e displayed in th	ie Tests	of Within-Subject	s Effects table	١,	
6 6														
B. Design Within Si	c Intercept + s ibjects Design:	ex subscale				-			- m-1/2 - m 5 - 1 - 1 - 1		**			
B. Design Within Si	ibjects Design:	ex subscale Texts of Within-	Subjects Ef	ffects						as of Be	tween-Subjects	Effects		
Within Si Measure: NE	ib(ects Design:	subscale Texts of Within-	Subjects Ef	fects				Measure;M	Tes		tween-Suhjects	Effects		
Within Si Measure: NH Ensilon Cor	ibjects Design: ASURE_I ections Greeni Type si	subscale Texts of Within- muse-Gelsses Som	Subjects Eff		F	Sig.	Pareal Eta Squared	Measure;M	Ter EASURE 1		tween–Subjects Mean Square	Effects F	Sig.	Partial Ess Squarer
Within Si Measure: Nif Epsilon Cor Source	ibjects Design: ASURE_1 RESIDES Green	subscale Texts of Within- muse-Gelsser Sum ares di	Mean Sc	quare	F 377.538	Sig.	Eta :	Measure:M Transform	Ter EASURE 1 ad Variable Aver Type 8 Sum	304		F 8914.519	Sig. .000	Septia des
Within Si Measure: NH	ASURE_I PUSIONS Green Type Si of Sour	subscale Texts of Within- muse-Gelsser Sum ares di	Mean Sc 21961	quare	F 377.538 30.391		Eta Squared	Measure:M Transforme Source	Tes EASURE_1 ad Variable Aver Type 8 Somi of Souvres	304	Mean Square	F	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Data were analysed using a mixed-design ANOVA with a within-subjects factor of subscale (pathogen, sexual, moral) and a between-subject factor of sex (male, female). Mauchly's test indicated that the assumption of sphericity had been violated ($\chi^2(2) = 16.8$, p < .001), therefore degrees of freedom were corrected using Greenhouse-Geisser estimates of sphericity (ε = 0.98). Main effects of subscale, F(1.91, 1350.8) = 378, p < .001, $η_p^2 = .35$, and sex, F(1, 709) = 78.8, p < .001, $η_p^2 = .10$, were qualified by an interaction between subscale and sex, F(1.91, 1351) = 30.4, p < .001, $η_p^2 = .041$.

ANCOVA

	Tes	ts of Betv	veen-Subjects E	ffects		
Dépendent Variab	erpathogen					
Source	Type IR Sum of Squares	di	Mean Square	£	Siq.	Partial Eta Squareci
Corrected Model	1210,1582	3	403.386	7.502	.666	.030
intercept	52794,932	Ĩ.	52794.932	981.794	.000	-573
sex.	107.679	1.	107.679	2.002	.157	.003
age	174.602	1	174.602	3.247	.072	.004
sex " age	.879	ž	.879	.016	.898	000.
Errar	39362.526	732	\$3.774	10000		
Total	\$50509.000	736				
Corrected Total	40572.683	735		-		

- 66 An ANCOVA [between-subjects factor: sex (male, female); covariate: age] revealed no main effects of sex, F(1, 732) = 2.00, p = .16, $η_p^2 = .003$, or age, F(1, 732) = 3.25, p = .072, $η_p^2 = .004$, and no interaction between sex and age, F(1, 732) = 0.016, p = .90, $η_p^2 < .001$.
- The predicted main effect of sex was not significant, F(1, 732) = 2.00, p = .16, $\eta_p^2 = .003$, nor was the predicted main effect of age, F(1, 732) = 3.25, p = .072, $\eta_p^2 = .004$. The interaction between sex and age were also not significant, F(1, 732) = 0.016, p = .90, $\eta_p^2 < .001$.

Correlations

Italicise *r* and *p*. Omit the leading zero from *r*.

		female	male
female	Pearson Correlation	1.000	.132**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	M	1282	1282
male	Pearson Correlation	.132	1.000
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	1282	1282

⁶⁶ Preferences for femininity in male and female faces were positively correlated, Pearson's r(1282) = .13, p < .001.

References

American Psychological Association. (2005). *Concise Rules of APA Style*. Washington, DC: APA Publications.

Field, A. P., & Hole, G. J. (2003). How to design and report experiments. London: Sage Publications.