

Intended for healthcare professionals

# Sage Journals

## Substance Use & Addiction Journal

Impact Factor: 2.4

5-Year Impact Factor: 3.2

### Submission guidelines



#### Submit manuscript

Please read the guidelines in full before submitting your manuscript. Manuscripts not conforming to these guidelines may be returned.

[Visit Submission Site](#) 

The Journal recommends that authors follow the [Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals](#) formulated by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE).

Sage is committed to upholding the integrity of the academic record. We encourage authors to refer to the Committee on Publication Ethics' [International Standards for Authors](#) and view the [author responsibilities section](#) on the Sage Journal Author Gateway.

We also encourage you to familiarize yourself with our [Editorial Policies](#) and our [Publication Ethics Policies](#).

Sage Publishing disseminates high-quality research and engaged scholarship globally, and we are committed to diversity and inclusion in publishing. We encourage submissions and peer review from a diverse range of authors and reviewers from across all countries and backgrounds. [Read our diversity, equity, and inclusion pledge.](#)

There are no fees payable to submit or publish in this journal. Open access options are available – see below.

Please read the guidelines below then [submit your manuscript here](#).

## Key information

**Access:** Subscription

**Accepts preprints?** Yes

**Identity transparency:** Double anonymized

## Publishing fees and open access

There are no fees payable to submit or publish in this journal.

Figures submitted in color will be published in color in the online version of the journal at no cost. If you wish to have color figures in the printed version, the following fees apply: It is USD \$800.00 for the first color image and \$200.00 for any additional color images within the same Contribution. Please notify the production team if you wish to publish your images in color in print.

Optional open access publishing is available for a fee via the [Sage Choice program](#), and Open Access agreements, where authors can publish open access either discounted or free of charge depending on the agreement with Sage. Find out if your institution is participating by [visiting Open Access Agreements at Sage](#). Open Access agreement eligibility is determined by the corresponding author's affiliation matching an agreement at acceptance. For more information on Open Access publishing options at Sage please [visit Sage Open Access](#).

For information on funding body compliance, and depositing your article in repositories, please [visit Sage's Author Archiving and Re-Use Guidelines](#) and [Publishing Policies](#).

## Preparing your manuscript for submission

Your article must be within the scope of the journal and be of sufficient quality. If not, it will not be reviewed. Please read the journal's [Aims and Scope](#) to see if your article is appropriate.

The manuscript must be your original work, you must have the rights to the work, and you must have obtained and be able to supply all necessary permissions for the reproduction of any copyright works not owned by you, including figures, illustrations, tables, lengthy quotations, or other material previously published elsewhere.

### Article types

SAj accepts the following manuscript/article types and are further described below:

1. Original Research
2. Brief Report
3. Review
4. Case Report
5. Commentary
6. Commentary with Study Protocols
7. Letter to the Editor
8. Editorial

In general, authors are expected to adhere to word count limits for initial submissions to the journal unless prior approval has been granted by the SAj Editor-in-Chief. Please note that when submitting to S1 you will be required to declare your word count.

Regarding word count limits, please see the specific instructions for each manuscript type. The word count limits for any article type include the body of the manuscript (including headings) and do NOT include the abstract, highlights, title page, references, tables, figures, captions, or supplemental material. There are no limits on the number of references cited.

All titles have a word limit of 50 words. All abstracts have a word count limit of 300 words (either structured or unstructured, see below). Abstracts are required of every article type except letters to the editor.

### 1. ORIGINAL RESEARCH articles

Original Research articles are articles that present research findings. Original Research Articles typically include randomized trials, intervention studies, cohort studies, case-control studies, epidemiologic assessments, other observational studies, surveys with high response rates, cost-effectiveness analyses and decision analyses, and studies of screening and diagnostic tests. Each manuscript should clearly state an objective or hypothesis; the design and methods (including the study setting and dates, patients or participants with inclusion and exclusion criteria and/or participation or response rates, or data sources, and how these were selected for the study); the essential features of any interventions; the main outcome measures; the main results of the study including a detailed description of participant demographics; a discussion

section placing the results in context with the published literature and addressing study limitations; and the conclusions and relevant implications for clinical practice or health policy. Data included in research reports must be original and should be as timely and current as possible.

Manuscripts involving qualitative or mixed methods studies are welcomed at the journal. The word limit is increased for these manuscripts. In addition, the SAJ welcome online appendices to assist in publishing more content that may be prohibited based on word counts.

**Word Count Limit:**

3,500 words

Qualitative articles are allowed up to 4,000 words (this word limit includes quotations)

**Required Sections for Main Document:**

Abstract, Highlights, Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion (including subheading of Conclusions), References

**Required Abstract Section Headings [structured]:**

Background, Methods, Results, and Conclusions.

**2. BRIEF REPORT articles**

Brief Report manuscripts are short reports of original studies. Brief reports are articles that report on focused research findings that can be succinctly described. Brief reports may also be an option for studies or curricular innovations where outcomes are emerging. Otherwise, types of research appropriate for brief reports are like Original Research manuscript types (see above).

**Word Count Limit:**

2,000 words

**Required Sections for Main Document:**

Abstract, Highlights, Introduction, Methods, Results, Discussion (including subheading of Conclusions), References

**Required Abstract Section Headings [structured]:**

Background, Methods, Results, and Conclusions.

### 3. REVIEW articles

The journal seeks to publish Review articles related to recent innovations in addiction research, education, policy, and treatment. These types of Review articles differ by the scope and level of analysis of the literature searches and the titles used. For example, systematic reviews require a complete systematic search of the literature using multiple databases, covering many years, and grading of the quality of the cited evidence. Narrative Review articles do not require a rigorous literature search but should rely on evidence. It is essential that the manuscripts follow reporting guidelines, such as those from the EQUATOR Network ([www.equator-network.org/](http://www.equator-network.org/)). Typically, authors seeking to submit Review articles to SAj contact the Editor-In-Chief to inquire about the appropriateness of Review subject to the journal.

#### Word Count Limit:

4,000 words

#### Required Sections for Main Document:

Abstract, Highlights, Introduction, Methods (if a formal review), Results, Discussion (including subheading of Conclusions), References

#### Required Abstract Section Headings [structured]:

Background, Methods, Results, and Conclusions

### 4. CASE REPORT articles

The journal is looking to publish case reports or a series of clinical cases under the heading Case Report Case Report articles. Case Report articles are generally short descriptions of clinical cases that uniquely enhance the clinical understanding or emerging understanding of addiction-related clinical issues. In general, SAj prefers articles that describe several clinical cases versus a single case. A good review of the literature is important in the writing of Case Report articles; authors should emphasize how the case study or case studies represent novel findings or new clinical information.

Importantly, we recognize there is no universal consensus regarding consent for publication of case reports, except cases that are identifiable can only be published when consent has been obtained. Note that such consent is different from research participant consent, which applies to systematic investigation of a subject or subjects with intent to generalize the findings. Consent to publish the details of an individual's case is obtained to respect the person's right to privacy. Institutional review and ethics boards make determinations about consent for research. However, even if consent is

waived for research and even if a case report is deemed to not constitute research, consent is often required for other reasons (privacy). If a case report or case series is deemed to be research (systematic collection of data with an intent to generalize the findings), report of approval and relevant consent should be stated like all other research. When two cases are reported, institutional review or ethics board review is recommended; in general, such review is required when three or more cases are reported.

Consent from the subject (or parent/guardian) should be obtained for all case reports. Consent can be on an institutional document or one like the examples below (modified as appropriate) and should be stored for seven years and made available to the editors and publisher on request. State in the cover letter that written consent to publish a report of the case has been obtained by the subject and that it is available for review by the editors and publisher of the journal.

If the subject is deceased, consent should be provided by family or significant others (next-of-kin). If consent has not been obtained, the authors must describe the circumstances of how they attempted to obtain consent or why it was not possible.

If consent is not obtained, the editors, alone or in consultation with the publisher and/or peer reviewers, will consider the extent to which the case appears to be anonymous and the exhaustive and reasonable nature of attempts to obtain consent, and whether there is any reason to suspect that a patient might have objected to publication. The authors should carefully attempt to protect the patient's identity. Then the journal will attempt to balance the risk of deductive disclosure with the benefit to public health and science. Authors should keep in mind however, that even without the inclusion of identifiers, real cases can often be identified by people in the community since cases worthy of reporting are often recognizable.

Case report manuscripts must state whether consent was obtained, along with any relevant circumstances as described above.

In summary, if identifiable information, such as photographs or radiographic material, is included as part of the submission, written informed consent must be obtained from the patient or the patient's legal guardian/representative, and the authors should affirm this in the Consent section. Furthermore, care should be taken when determining which potentially identifying details to include: the description should be adequate to allow for proper interpretation by the readers, but the authors should omit non-essential details. The Editor-in-Chief may request proof of written informed consent.

**Word Count Limit:**

2,500 words

**Required Sections for Main Document:**

Abstract, Highlights, Introduction, Case, Discussion, References

Required Abstract Section Headings:

Background, Case(s), and Discussion

**5. LETTER TO THE EDITOR articles**

This is a flexible format intended to quickly share information of interest to SAJ readers. These letters to the editor may include responses to an article previously published in SAJ, peer reviewed journals, or non-peer reviewed published materials; discussion of new tools, technology, and ideas; announcements and policy statements from organizations or other stakeholders; responses to recent research, commentary, or policy; implications of recent research or forthcoming research to the addictions field; and primary research data in summary form. The Letter to the Editor format is not intended for full presentation of data.

Letters discussing a recent article in this journal should be submitted within four weeks of publication of the article in print. Letters must not duplicate other material published or submitted for publication and should not include unpublished data. Letters being considered for publication ordinarily will be sent to the authors of the original article, who will be given the opportunity to reply, in written format. The letters received in reply to an original article will be sent anonymously to the authors, unless the letter writers wish not to be anonymous. Replies to letters to editors will be published, if reviewed and accepted to the journal. Letters will be published at the discretion of the editors and are subject to abridgement and editing for style and content.

**Word Count Limit:**

1,000 words

**Required Sections for Main Document:**

Highlights, Main Body (section headings determined by authors), References.  
Abstracts are optional.

Required Abstract Section Headings:

one. Abstracts (optional) generally are brief (less than 200 words)

## 6. COMMENTARY articles

Commentaries are intended to offer expert insights into important or controversial topics related to addiction in clinical medicine, medical economics, policy, ethics, or related issues. When appropriate, SAJ expects authors to acknowledge a limited amount of supporting or opposing literature. Priority is given to novel thought, clear and creative writing, and the relevance of the manuscript to the interests of SAJ's readers. SAJ strongly encourages submission of commentary related to addiction health policy and thought pieces that seek to advance the clinical or scientific fields.

### Word Count Limit:

3,000 words

### Required Sections for Main Document:

Abstract, Highlights, Main Body (section headings determined by authors), References

### Required Abstract Section Headings:

Abstract required but section headings are not necessary.

## 7. COMMENTARY with STUDY PROTOCOL articles

Commentary with Study Protocol articles are intended to offer insights into critical decisions when designing and implementing scientific protocols. In general, all study protocols that are published in SAJ must have been approved by an institutional review board (IRB) and/or ethics committee; study protocols without ethical approval, will generally not be considered for publication.

The Study Protocol consists of two documents: 1) A Brief Narrative and 2) Supplement – Approved Protocol. We recommend that a Brief Narrative is provided (2,000 words) that 1) introduces the justification for the study (e.g., text (or modified text) the narrative of the grant application), 2) relates the specific aims of the study, and 3) provides insights into uniqueness of the study design or critical decisions the authors made in the design of the study. Authors can additionally comment on important edits or amendments they made to the study once implemented.

Brief Narratives thus may read like a commentary or editorial. Brief Narratives should have a trial registration number (if available) noted in the text or in the acknowledgment section. An abstract should briefly describe the study, aims, and protocol. This abstract does not require formal headings. The abstract should not be more than 300 words.

Authors will append to the Brief Narrative their institutionally approved protocol that will be a web-based supplement to the article if it is published (named “Supplement – Approved Protocol”). It is NOT necessary to edit the approved protocol from what the institution has approved, and it can be uploaded “as is” or as amended. However, the Supplement – Approved Protocol should be a complete representation of the approved protocol. It is expected that the protocols will be variably formatted. If authors wish to reformat their protocol in the Supplement – Approved Protocol document, the SAJ recommends using the SPIRIT guidelines (<https://www.spirit-statement.org/>). The Supplement – Approved Protocol document ideally should have a date of last ethical or institutional approval near the beginning of the supplemental file.

In addition, the authors of Commentary with Study Protocol submissions should submit the ethical or institutional approval document attesting to the approval of the submitted protocol.

Study protocols will be peer reviewed by at least one Editorial Board member. The review will primarily consist of evaluating the text of the Brief Narrative; the protocol itself (within the Supplement – Approved Protocol) will not be critically reviewed. The review of Commentary with Study Protocols manuscripts will assess the uniqueness of the study and/or critical decisions made by the investigators in the study design. In general, Commentary with Study Protocols will be reviewed more favorably if the proposed or ongoing trials that have not completed patient recruitment at the time of submission. However, for instance, if a study was poorly designed, had methodologic concerns, or the protocol hampered the implementation of the study and these factors are discussed in sufficient detail in the Brief Narrative, these protocols will be welcomed for review.

**Word Count Limit:**

2,500 words (Brief Narrative) and no word limit (Supplement – Approved Protocol)

**Required Sections for Main Document:**

Abstract, Highlights, Main Body (section headings determined by authors), References

**Required Abstract Section Headings:**

None. Abstracts generally are brief (less than 200 words)

**Required Additional Documents:**

) Supplement – Approved Protocol (or edited) and

2) Letter from institution indicating ethical or institutional approval for the narrative described in the Supplement – Approved Protocol

## 8. EDITORIAL

Submission of editorials is by invitation from or prior arrangement with the Editor-in-Chief. Most editorials will comment on other material (e.g., an innovative original article) appearing in the same issue of SAJ, changes in journal activities or policies, or policy articles endorsed by AMERSA, Inc. SAJ also publishes freestanding editorials commenting on other topics, such as major changes in addiction clinical medicine or addiction health care policy, that were not originally introduced within the pages of SAJ. Final acceptance of any editorial, even an invited editorial, is at the discretion of the Editor-in-Chief and Associate Editors.

### Word Count Limit:

2,000 words

### Required Sections for Main Document:

Abstract, Highlights, Main Body (section headings determined by authors), References

### Required Abstract Section Headings:

None. Abstracts generally are brief (less than 200 words)

### Clinical trial registration

The journal conforms to the [ICMJE requirement](#) that clinical trials are registered in a [WHO-approved public trials registry](#) at or before the time of first participant enrollment as a condition of consideration for publication. The trial registry name and URL, and registration number must be included at the end of the abstract.

### Reporting guidelines

Your manuscript **must** follow the relevant [EQUATOR Network reporting guidelines](#), depending on the type of study. The [EQUATOR wizard](#) can help identify the appropriate guideline. You will need to upload the appropriate checklist with your submission.

Other resources can be found at [NLM's Research Reporting Guidelines and Initiatives](#).

If your research involves animals, you will be asked to confirm that you have carefully read and adhered to the [ARRIVE guidelines](#).

## Formatting your manuscript

### Accepted file types

The preferred format for your manuscript is Word. You do not need to follow a template, but please ensure your heading levels are clear, and the sections clearly defined.

Your article title, keywords, and abstract all contribute to its position in search engine results, directly affecting the number of people who see your work. For details of what you can do to influence this, visit [How to help readers find your article online](#).

### Title

Your manuscript's title should be concise, descriptive, unambiguous, accurate, and reflect the precise contents of the manuscript. A descriptive title that includes the topic of the manuscript makes an article more findable in the major indexing services.

### Abstract

Please include a structured abstract of 300 words between the title and main body of your manuscript that concisely states the purpose of the research, major findings, and conclusions. If your research includes clinical trials, the trial registry name and URL, and registration number must be included at the end of the abstract. Submissions that do not meet this requirement will not be considered.

For clinical trials, the trial registry name and URL, and registration number must be included at the end of the abstract.

### Title Page

To ensure fair and anonymous peer review, your manuscript must be fully anonymized. Please ensure any identifying information is removed from the main manuscript document and included on the Title Page instead. Do not include any author names in the manuscript file name and remove names from headers and footers. This version of the manuscript **will** be sent to the peer reviewers. The Title Page **will not** be sent to peer reviewers. See the Sage Journal Author Gateway for [detailed guidance on making an anonymous submission](#).

The Title Page should include:

- Article title
- The full list of authors including names and affiliations of each

- **The listed affiliation should be the institution where the research was conducted.** If an author has moved to a new institution since completing the research, the new affiliation can be included in a note at the end of the manuscript – please indicate this on the title page.
- **All persons eligible for authorship must be included at the time of submission (please see the authorship section for more information).**
  - Contact information for the corresponding author: name, institutional address, phone, email
  - Acknowledgments section
  - Declaration of conflicting interest
  - Funding statement
  - Ethical approval and informed consent statements
  - Data availability statement
  - Any other identifying information related to the authors and/or their institutions, funders, approval committees, etc, that might compromise anonymity.

## Writing your paper

The SAGE Author Gateway has some general advice and on [how to get published](#), plus links to further resources. [SAGE Author Services](#) also offers authors a variety of ways to improve and enhance their article including English language editing, plagiarism detection, and video abstract and infographic preparation.

## Non-Pejorative and Race/Ethnicity/Diversity Language

SAj supports the mission AMERSA which is “to improve health and well-being through interdisciplinary leadership in substance use education, research, clinical care, and policy.” The SAj Editorial Team believes that improving health and well-being requires interdisciplinary leadership regarding the language that we use in our scholarship. We ask authors, reviewers, and readers to carefully and intentionally consider the language used to describe alcohol and other drug use and disorders, the individuals affected by these conditions, and their related behaviors, comorbidities, treatment, and recovery in our publication. Specifically, we make an appeal for the use of language that:

- Respects the worth and dignity of all persons (“people-first language”)Focuses on the medical nature of substance use disorders and treatment
- Promotes the recovery process
- Avoids perpetuating negative stereotype biases using slang and idioms

For an overview of each of the above principles, examples of preferred and non-preferred terms, and discussion of some the nuances and tensions that inherently arise as we give greater attention to the issue of how we talk and write about substance use and addiction, please refer to our 2014 editorial in SAj (Broyles LM, Minswanger IA, Jenkins JA, Finnell DS, Faseru B, Cavaiola A, Pugatch M, Gordon AJ. Confronting inadvertent stigma and pejorative language in addiction scholarship: a

recognition and response. *Subst Abus.* 2014;35(3):217-21. doi: 10.1080/08897077.2014.930372. PMID: 24911031; PMCID: PMC6042508) which is available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24911031/>. In addition, see the International Society of Addiction Journal Editors (ISAJE) comment on addiction terminology (<https://www.isaje.net/addiction-terminology.html>).

Our reviewers are very cognizant of pejorative language and often comment on misuse of language. In sum, the SAJ Editorial Team does not seek to issue a formal or final dictum on language use for authors and reviewers, but instead, to encourage thoughtful and deliberate consideration of language that is most consistent with operationalizing respect for personhood in SAJ's policies and practices.

### **Race and Ethnicity.**

As SAJ follows the AMA 11th Edition of Style, we conform to the guidance regarding reporting race and ethnicity in medical and scientific journals, which has been recently updated and summarized here

(<https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2783090>). Additional information regarding recommended terms and information regarding race/ethnicity/diversity is found at <https://www.apa.org/about/apa/equity-diversity-inclusion/framework.pdf>.

### **SAJ recommend authors conform to the following:**

Race and ethnicity are social constructs, without scientific or biological meaning. Accordingly, for content published in SAJ, language and terminology must be accurate, clear, and precise and must reflect fairness, equity, and consistency in use and reporting of race and ethnicity. Correct use of language may reduce unintentional bias in scientific reporting. The reporting of race and ethnicity should not be considered in isolation but should be accompanied by reporting of other sociodemographic factors and social determinants, including concerns about racism, disparities, and inequities, and the intersectionality of race and ethnicity with these other factors.

Ethnicity has historically referred to a person's cultural identity (e.g., language, customs, religion) and race to broad categories of people that are divided arbitrarily but based on ancestral origin and physical characteristics. Although race and ethnicity have no biological meaning, the terms have important, albeit contested, social meanings. Neglecting to report race and ethnicity in health and medical research disregards the reality of social stratification, injustices, and inequities and implications for population health, and removing race and ethnicity from research may conceal health disparities.

Thus, SAJ supports the inclusion of race and ethnicity in its reports of medical research to address and further elucidate health disparities and inequities. Authors should use specific racial and ethnic terms are preferred over collective terms, when possible. The general term minorities should not be used when describing groups or populations because it is overly vague and implies a hierarchy among groups. Other terms such as underserved populations (e.g., when referring to health disparities among groups) or underrepresented populations (e.g., when referring to a disproportionately low number of individuals in a workforce or educational program) may be used provided the categories of individuals included are defined at first mention. The term minoritized may be acceptable as an adjective provided that the noun(s) that it is modifying is included (e.g., “racial and ethnic minoritized group”). Groups that have been historically marginalized could be suitable in certain contexts if the rationale for this designation is provided and the categories of those included are defined or described at first mention.

SAJ similarly applies diversity, equity, and inclusion reporting guidelines to sex and gender diversity.

Authors should report the specific categories used in their studies and recognize that these categories will differ based on the databases or surveys used, the requirements of funders, and the geographic location of data collection or study participants. When collective terms are used, merging of race and ethnicity with a virgule as “race/ethnicity” is no longer recommended. Instead, “race and ethnicity” is preferred, with the understanding that there are numerous subcategories within race and ethnicity.

Abbreviation of Race and Ethnicity should be avoided. Race and ethnicity terms should be capitalized.

Please see <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2783090> for further guidance including guidance on referring to geographic origin and regionalization considerations (e.g., use of African American or Black, preference for American Indian or Alaska Native, Latino and Latina vs. Latinx).

### **Specific Guidance on reporting Race and Ethnicity in SAJ.**

Per guidance at: <https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2783090>), we suggest to authors the following:

- The Methods section should include an explanation of who identified participant race and ethnicity and the source of the classifications used (e.g., self-report or selection, investigator observed, database, electronic health record, survey instrument).

- Authors should justify their samples and provide a description of their sample inclusion efforts in the methods section of the manuscript.
- If race and ethnicity categories were collected for a study, the reasons that these were assessed also should be described in the Methods section. If collection of data on race and ethnicity was required by the funding agency, that should be noted.
- Specific racial and ethnic categories are preferred over collective terms, when possible. Authors should report the specific categories used in their studies and recognize that these categories will differ based on the databases or surveys used, the requirements of funders, and the geographic location of data collection or study participants. Categories included in groups labeled as “other” should be defined.
- Categories should be listed in alphabetical order in text and tables.
- Race and ethnicity categories of the study population should be reported in the Results section.

SAj will allow authors to supersede the word limits of articles to accomplish race, ethnicity, and diversity language and reporting in their articles. In these cases, the discussion section should address race, ethnicity, and diversity issues.

### Keywords

Please identify 3 key words that describe the content of the article. SAj uses Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) only. MeSH terms can be obtained online. Please see: <https://www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/meshhome.html> and <https://meshb.nlm.nih.gov> (find MeSH terms).

### Highlights

After the abstract and keywords, please include a highlights section that lists **2-4 short bullet-point sentences** summarizing the article’s main takeaway points. The bullet point should describe, in plain and direct language, the article’s primary contribution. When appropriate, we encourage key points that refer specifically to the audience(s) who will benefit from understanding the article’s findings and explicitly tell them why they should care about this work.

In general, the Highlights Section should convey the core findings and provide readers with a quick textual overview of the article. Highlights should describe the essence of the research (e.g. Results, conclusions) and highlight what is distinctive about it.

Guidance on writing Highlights include (1) don't try to capture all ideas, concepts or conclusions as highlights are meant to be short: 85 characters or fewer, including spaces; (2) highlights offer your paper a considerable advantage in the online world, as they ensure that search engines pick up your article and match it to the right audience; (3) highlights can be used for social media dissemination; and (4) do not use abbreviations in the highlights section.

Of note, online system will prompt you to provide highlights, but the highlight Section of each article (included after the abstract) is what will be considered or published.

### Artwork, figures, and other graphics

Tables and figures are to be uploaded separately from the main document, with the appropriate file type designated. Do not include figure titles and captions within your images – they will not scale appropriately. Figures should be sized to fit on a journal page and 300 DPI or higher. (Using most software, authors can check the resolution of an image by right clicking on it, selecting Properties, and viewing the Details tab). In formatting your tables and figures, please follow the following guidance:

- Tables: Microsoft Word (using the Table feature) or Excel document
- Figures: Authors who have created their own figures are encouraged to submit them in the original file formats (e.g., Microsoft Excel, PowerPoint). Images should be TIFFs with a minimum resolution of 300 DPI.

Where superscripted lists are provided at the bottom of the table, please put lists into paragraph form at the bottom of the table i.e. a blue; b green; c red. In many tables and figures, acronyms are not defined. Please include a legend under each table that defines all the acronyms of that specific table. This should be labeled as “Legend.” Please make sure FIGURE titles are on the bottom, and TABLE titles on the top.

Figures supplied in color will appear in color online free of charge, regardless of whether these illustrations are reproduced in color in the printed version. If an author wishes to have the figure in color in print, the author will need to pay. It is USD \$800.00 for the first color image and \$200.00 for any additional color images within the same Contribution. Please notify the production team if you wish to publish your images in color in print.

### Acknowledgments

If you are including an Acknowledgements section, this will be published at the end of your article. The Acknowledgments section should include all contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship. Per [ICMJE recommendations](#), it is best practice to obtain consent from non-author contributors who you are acknowledging in your manuscript.

**Writing assistance and third party submissions:** if you have received any writing or editing assistance from a third-party, for example a specialist communications company, this must be clearly stated in the Acknowledgements section and in the covering letter. Please see the [Sage Author Gateway](#) for what information to include in your Acknowledgements section. If your submission is being made on your behalf by

someone who is not listed as an author, for example the third-party who provided writing/editing assistance, you must state this in the Acknowledgements and also in your covering letter. **Please note that the journal editor reserves the right to not consider submissions made by a third party rather than by the author/s themselves.**

### Author contributions

As part of our commitment to ensuring an ethical, transparent and fair peer review and publication process, this journal has adopted [CRediT \(Contributor Roles Taxonomy\)](#). CRediT is a high-level taxonomy, including 14 roles, which is used to describe each author's individual contributions to the work.

You will be asked to list the contribution of each author as part of the submission process. Please include the Author Contributions heading within your submission after the Acknowledgements section. The information you give on submission will then show under the Author Contributions heading later at the proofing stage.

### Artificial Intelligence

#### Use of Large Language Models and generative AI tools in writing your submission

Sage recognizes the value of large language models (LLMs) (e.g. ChatGPT) and generative AI as productivity tools that can help authors in preparing their article for submission; to generate initial ideas for a structure, for example, or when summarizing, paraphrasing, language polishing etc. However, it is important to note that all language models have limitations and are unable to replicate human creative and critical thinking. Human intervention with these tools is essential to ensure that content presented is accurate and appropriate to the reader. Sage therefore requires authors to be aware of the limitations of language models and to consider these in any use of LLMs in their submissions:

- **Objectivity:** Previously published content that contains racist, sexist or other biases can be present in LLM-generated text, and minority viewpoints may not be represented. Use of LLMs has the potential to perpetuate these biases because the information is decontextualized and harder to detect.
- **Accuracy:** LLMs can 'hallucinate' i.e. generate false content, especially when used outside of their domain or when dealing with complex or ambiguous topics. They can generate content that is linguistically but not scientifically plausible, they can get facts wrong, and they have been shown to generate citations that don't exist. Some LLMs are only trained on content published before a particular date and therefore present an incomplete picture.
- **Contextual understanding:** LLMs cannot apply human understanding to the context of a piece of text, especially when dealing with idiomatic expressions, sarcasm, humor, or

metaphorical language. This can lead to errors or misinterpretations in the generated content.

- Training data: LLMs require a large amount of high-quality training data to achieve optimal performance. However, in some domains or languages, such data may not be readily available, limiting the usefulness of the model.

## Guidance for authors

Authors are required to:

- Clearly indicate the use of language models in the manuscript, including which model was used and for what purpose. Please use the methods or acknowledgements section, as appropriate.
- Verify the accuracy, validity, and appropriateness of the content and any citations generated by language models and correct any errors or inconsistencies.
- Provide a list of sources used to generate content and citations, including those generated by language models. Double-check citations to ensure they are accurate and properly referenced.
- Be conscious of the potential for plagiarism where the LLM may have reproduced substantial text from other sources. Check the original sources to be sure you are not plagiarizing someone else's work.
- Acknowledge the limitations of language models in the manuscript, including the potential for bias, errors, and gaps in knowledge.
- Please note that AI bots such as ChatGPT should not be listed as an author on your submission.

We will take appropriate corrective action where we identify published articles with undisclosed use of such tools.

## Funding

Each SAJ article has a “Funding” section. SAJ requires all authors to acknowledge their funding in a consistent fashion under a separate section. Please visit page on the Sage Journal Author Gateway (<https://www.sagepub.com/funding-acknowledgements>) to confirm the format of the acknowledgment text in the event of funding, or state that: “This research [or editorial] received no specific funding or grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.”

Funding sources for the research process and for manuscript preparation must be listed. Please provide the grant number, if applicable. Authors should also specify the degree to which the funding agency was involved in the work reported in the manuscript or in the composition of the submission. The authors should indicate funding for the work contained in the manuscript. An example of a “Funding” statement is provided below:

“This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA), Office of Mental Health and Suicide Prevention, Veterans Health Administration; the Program for Addiction Research, Clinical Care, Knowledge, and Advocacy (PARCKA) at the University of Utah; the Vulnerable Veteran Innovative PACT (VIP) Initiative at the VA Salt Lake City Health Care System; the VA Center of Excellence in Substance Addiction Treatment and Education (CESATE); the VA Health Services Research and Development (HSR&D) Quality Enhancement Research Initiative (QUERI) Partnered Evaluation Center (PEC) grants #19-001 and #18-203. Supporting organizations had no further role in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.”

### **Compliance, Ethical Standards, and Ethical Approval**

Each article of SAJ must have a “Compliance and Ethical Standards” section. General guidance for this section can be found here (<https://www.sagepub.com/ethical-statements-guidance>).

Medical research involving human subjects should be conducted according to the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki (see: <https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/>). SAJ requires that authors submitting work involving human subjects research adhere to the ethical principles expressed by the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki (<https://www.wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/>). Submitted manuscripts should conform to the ICMJE Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals (<http://www.icmje.org/icmje-recommendations.pdf>) and all papers reporting animal and/or human studies must state in the methods section that the relevant ethics committee or institutional review board provided (or waived) approval.

For research articles, authors are also required to state in the methods section whether participants provided informed consent and whether the consent was written or verbal.

Information on informed consent to report individual cases or case series should be included in the manuscript text. A statement is required regarding whether written informed consent for patient information and images to be published was provided by the patient(s) or a legally authorized representative. Please do not submit the patient’s actual written informed consent with your article, as this breaches the patient’s confidentiality. SAJ may request that authors confirm to us, in writing, that you have obtained written informed consent but the written consent itself should be held by the

authors/investigators themselves, for example in a patient's hospital record. The confirmatory letter may be uploaded with your submission as a separate file. Please also refer to the ICMJE Recommendations for the Protection of Research Participants (<http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/roles-and-responsibilities/protection-of-research-participants.html>).

Authors must indicate that an independent research ethics committee (e.g., Institutional Review Board) reviewed and approved, or exempted the study protocol. A short description of the informed consent process should also be included. Please ensure that you have provided the full name and institution of the review committee. If ethics committee approval and/or informed consent were not obtained, a brief explanation must be provided.

Examples of how this section could be written is as follows. Further examples of how to state this section and IRB approval are located at <https://www.sagepub.com/ethical-statements-guidance>.

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The Institutional Review Board (IRB) at the University of Last Resort acted as the central IRB, whose review was accepted by all participating institutions' IRBs (Ref. XYZ123). The central IRB determined that this research involved no greater than minimal risk and approved a waiver for informed consent.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of XXXX University (Ethics Code: XYZ123) on January 12, 2023. All participants provided written informed consent prior to enrolment in the study. This research was conducted ethically in accordance with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki.

#### Statements and declarations

To ensure proper anonymization, please include a section with the heading 'Statements and Declarations' on your title page, after the Acknowledgements section [and Author Contributions section if applicable] including each of the sub-headings listed below. If a declaration is not applicable to your submission, you must still include the heading and state 'Not applicable' underneath. Please note that you may be asked to justify why a declaration was not applicable to your submission by the Editorial Office. This information will be added to the end of your published paper.

#### Ethical considerations

Please include your ethics approval statements under this heading, even if you have already included ethics approval information in your methods section. If ethical approval was not required, you need to explicitly state this. You can find information

on what to say in your ethical statements as well as example statements on our [Publication ethics and research integrity policies page](#).

All papers reporting studies involving human participants, human data or human tissue must state that the relevant Ethics Committee or Institutional Review Board approved the study, or waived the requirement for approval, providing the full name and institution of the review committee in addition to the approval number. If applicable, please also include this information in the Methods section of your manuscript.

#### Consent to participate

Please include any participant consent information under this heading and state whether informed consent to participate was written or verbal. If the requirement for informed consent to participate has been waived by the relevant Ethics Committee or Institutional Review Board (i.e. where it has been deemed that consent would be impossible or impracticable to obtain), please state this. If this is not applicable to your manuscript, please state 'Not applicable' in this section. More information and example statements can be found on our [Publication ethics and research integrity policies page](#).

#### Consent for publication

Submissions containing any data from an individual person (including individual details, images or videos) must include a statement confirming that informed consent for publication was provided by the participant(s) or a legally authorized representative. Non-essential identifying details should be omitted. Please do not submit the participant's actual written informed consent with your article, as this in itself breaches the patient's confidentiality. The Journal requests that you confirm to us, in writing, that you have obtained written informed consent to publish but the written consent itself should be held by the authors/investigators themselves, for example in a patient's hospital record. The confirmatory letter may be uploaded with your submission as a separate file in addition to the statement confirming that consent to publish was obtained within the manuscript text. If this is not applicable to your manuscript, please state 'Not applicable' in this section.

#### Declaration of conflicting interest

The journal requires a declaration of conflicting interests from all authors so that a statement can be included in your article. For guidance on conflict of interest statements, see our [policy on conflicting interest declarations](#) and the [ICMJE recommendations](#).

If no conflict exists, your statement should read: 'The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article'.

#### Funding statement

All articles need to include a funding statement, under a separate heading, **even if you did not receive funding**. You'll find guidance and examples on our [Funding](#) page.

#### Data availability

The Journal is committed to facilitating openness, transparency and reproducibility of research, and has the following research data sharing policy. For more information, including FAQs please [visit the Sage Research Data policy pages](#).

Subject to appropriate ethical and legal considerations, authors are encouraged to:

- Share your research data in a relevant public data repository
- Include a data availability statement linking to your data. If it is not possible to share your data, use the statement to confirm why it cannot be shared.
- Cite this data in your research

#### Reference style and citations

The journal follows the AMA Manual of Style. [View the AMA Manual of Style](#) to ensure your manuscript conforms.

Every in-text citation must have a corresponding citation in the reference list and vice versa. Corresponding citations must have identical spelling and year.

Authors should update any references to preprints when a peer reviewed version is made available, to cite the published research. Citations to preprints are otherwise discouraged.

#### EndNote

If you use [EndNote](#) to manage references, you can download the [AMA output file](#)

#### Supplemental material

This Journal can host additional materials online (e.g. datasets, podcasts, videos, images etc.) alongside the full text of the article. Your supplemental material must be one of our accepted file types. For that list and more information please refer to our [guidelines on submitting supplemental files](#).

## English language editing services

Authors seeking assistance with English language editing, translation, or figure and manuscript formatting to fit the journal's specifications should consider using Sage Author Services. Visit [Sage Author Services](#) for further information.

## Submitting your manuscript

As part of the submission process you will need to confirm that this is your original work, that you have the rights in the work, that this is for first publication in this Journal, that it is not being considered for/has not already been published elsewhere, and that you have obtained and can supply all necessary permissions for the reproduction of any copyright works not owned by you.

Please see our [guidelines on prior publication](#) and note that the journal may accept submissions of manuscripts that have been posted on preprint servers.

### Preprints

The journal will consider submissions of manuscripts that have been posted on preprint servers.

Please enter the preprint DOI in the designated field when submitting your manuscript. We advise that you inform the Journal Editorial office about your posted preprint at submission.

Note that you should **not** post an updated version of your manuscript on a preprint server while it is being peer reviewed.

[Learn more about our preprint policy.](#)

### Submission site

[Submit your manuscript online via Sage Track.](#)

**IMPORTANT:** Please check whether you already have an account in Sage Track before trying to create a new one. If you have reviewed or authored for the journal in the past year it is likely that you will have had an account created. For further guidance on submitting your manuscript online please visit [ScholarOne Online Help](#).

Manuscripts should only be submitted with the consent of all contributing authors. The individual responsible for submitting the manuscript should carefully check that

all those whose work contributed to the manuscript are listed as authors.

**Ensure you upload all relevant manuscript files, including any additional supplemental files (including reporting guidelines where relevant).**

### Authorship

Please [view our authorship policies](#), which includes information on criteria for authorship, who should be the corresponding author and more.

Please note that AI chatbots, for example ChatGPT, should not be listed as authors. For more information see the [policy on Use of ChatGPT and generative AI tools](#).

### Files

- **Title Page** with all required identifying information as laid out in Preparing your manuscript for submission (above). This **will not** be sent to the peer reviewers.
- Your **manuscript**, properly formatted and anonymized according to all stipulations above, and within the scope of the journal. Any information that compromises the anonymity of the author(s) should be removed or anonymized and included on the Title Page instead. See above for more information on anonymization. This version **will** be sent to the peer reviewers.
- **Figures and images.**
  - All figures must be numbered consecutively in the order in which they appear in the text – they will appear in the published article in the order they are numbered.
  - Figure resolution is 300dpi. [More information on figure/image preparation can be found here.](#)
- **Supplemental material.** This journal can host additional materials online (e.g. datasets, podcasts, videos, images, etc) alongside the full-text of the article. Your supplemental material must be one of our accepted file types. For that list and more information please refer to our [guidelines on submitting supplemental files](#).

### Other information required for submission

- We encourage all authors and co-authors ensure their ORCID IDs are linked to their accounts in the submission system prior to article acceptance, as this is the only way to have their ORCID ID present on the published article. **ORCID IDs cannot be added to manuscripts after acceptance/publication.**
  - Please note that each co-author must log in to the journal submission system to add their own ORCID ID to their account. To add an ORCID ID, edit your account, click the link when prompted, and sign into your ORCID account to validate your ID. You will then be redirected back to the submission system and your ORCID ID will become part of your accepted publication's metadata.
  - [Please create an ORCID ID](#) if you do not already have one or visit our [ORCID homepage](#) to learn more.
- Complete list of authors, with their institutional affiliations.

- The author information you enter at submission must exactly match what is included on your manuscript and/or title page, including full names, academic affiliations, and corresponding author contact details.
  - **The listed affiliation should be the institution where the research was conducted.** If an author has moved to a new institution since completing the research, the new affiliation can be included in a note at the end of the manuscript.
  - **All listed authors must meet the criteria for authorship (above).**
  - **All persons eligible for authorship must be included at the time of submission.**
  - All authors must have given consent for the manuscript to be submitted in its current form.
- **Keywords:** During submission, you may be asked to select or enter keywords for your manuscript. These keywords are used to match appropriate reviewers to your manuscript.
  - The number of figures, tables, and words in your manuscript.
  - **Funder information:** Name, grant/award number.
  - You may be required to enter your declaration of conflicting interest as part of the submission process, in addition to listing it on your manuscript and/or title page. Please have it on hand.
  - If you have posted your manuscript to a preprint server, you will be asked to supply the DOI (this does not prohibit submission, but no changes should be made to the preprint version while your manuscript is under evaluation in this journal). Please see our guidelines on [prior publication](#). If the article is accepted for publication, the author may re-use their work according to the journal's author archiving policy. If your manuscript is accepted, you must include a link in your preprint to the final version of your published article.

## Peer review policy

The following summary describes the peer review process for this journal:

Identity transparency: Double-anonymized

Reviewer interacts with: Editor

Review information published: None

Your manuscript will undergo an initial evaluation. If it does not conform to the requirements laid out in these guidelines, it will be returned to you for amendments prior to peer review. Manuscripts may be desk rejected without peer review at this point if they are out of scope for the journal or otherwise unsuitable.

After passing the initial evaluation, your manuscript will then be peer reviewed. You can log in at any time to check the status of your manuscript. We will notify you when a decision has been reached.

General Article Editorial Flow and Peer Review Policies

All articles submitted to SAJ will undergo a peer review process, either internal (via the Associate Editors) or external (with an Associate Editor and external peer reviewers). SAJ uses a double-anonymous reviewing process. Thus, authors should take care to anonymize all potentially identifying information within the body of the article. Commonly overlooked identifying information includes: the description of the setting/study site, IRB affiliation, and references to “our” prior research. The SAJ Editor will assist in “anonymizing” the manuscript prior to the review, if needed, but authors should try to anonymize their papers prior to submission. All identifying author information should be included in the title pages (see below); the title pages will not be shared with reviewers.

The SAJ conducts a semi double-anonymous review of manuscripts (see rationale our editorial: Gordon AJ. Substance Use & Addiction journal: new beginnings. *Subst Abus.* 2013;34(4):339-41. doi: 10.1080/08897077.2013.825220. PMID: 24159902. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24159902/>); the external reviewers will not know the name, institution, or country of the authors and the authors will not know the name, institution, or country of the external reviewers or Associate Editors who reviewed the work. The Editor and the Associate Editors know the name of the authors, their institutions, or countries of origin (thus the process is “semi” double-anonymous review process rather than a complete double-anonymous review process). The Associate Editors and Editor in Chief do not make any determination on the quality of the work based on any factor (e.g., race/ethnicity, gender, country of origin, funding source, etc.) other than the merits of the manuscript submitted.

Authors will be asked at the submission stage to BLIND their papers. This can include using a [BLINDED] tag or any other means to provide author anonymity.

Once submitted, the peer review process includes (generally in the following order):

1. **ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW:** a review from the Managing Editors regarding attentiveness to the authors in adhering to the author instructions and helping anonymize the submitted manuscript for peer review (see below). Those submissions not adhering to the author instructions may be administratively rejected. Acceptable submissions are sent to the Editor-in-Chief to be evaluated for content.
2. **EDITOR REVIEW:** a review from an Editor regarding appropriateness for the journal and for external peer review. The Editor-in-Chief (at times with assistance of Deputy or Associate Editor) may make an immediate decision to reject the submission because the work is not appropriate to publish in SAJ. Otherwise, the Editor-in-Chief will forward the article to an Associate Editor for review.
3. **ASSOCIATE EDITOR REVIEW:** Associate Editors will review the article for merit. If the manuscript is appropriate for external peer review, the manuscript is sent for external review.
4. **PEER REVIEW:** Peer reviewers will be asked to review the manuscript; articles of interest will be assigned peer reviewers with expertise relevant to the submission. Peer reviewers will

not know the authors and institutions of the authors in the solicitation process. In most cases, in addition to editorial review, at least two peer reviews will occur for each submission.

5. ASSOCIATE EDITOR/EDITOR RE-REVIEW and INITIAL DETERMINATION NOTIFICATION. The Editors will consider reviewer comments and make a determination. Upon receiving reviews of the external peer reviewers, the Associate Editor will recommend a decision of the manuscript to the Editor-in-Chief. Final review of the reviews (both external reviews and Associate Editor(s) recommendations) occurs by the Editor in Chief. One of the four possible decisions – accept as is, accept with revision, revise, and resubmit, or reject – will be communicated to the corresponding author.

The Editor-in-Chief is ultimately responsible for the peer review process. At any point in the review process, authors may have their paper returned to them for corrections or with an initial decision. SAJ aims for a six-week turnaround from author submission to the initial Editor-in-Chief decision. As of this writing, our time to first decision or all manuscripts are, on average, less than 40 days and our time to final decision is, on average, less than 60 days. After an initial decision, papers may be resubmitted, and the above review cycle usually repeats.

Decisions can be 1) accept as is, 2) accept with revision (usually minor revisions requested), 3) revise and resubmit (moderate or major revisions requested), or 4) rejection. These decisions are returned to by email to the authors with specific instructions for resubmission (unless the decision is rejection).

In general, if the decision is “accept as is” the manuscript will be sent to production. If the authors have any additional suggested changes by the editorial team or wish to make further changes to the manuscript, these changes can be made at the time of galley proofs prior to publication. If the decision is “accept with revision”, the authors will be instructed to submit a revised manuscript in two formats, 1) a “clean” copy, and 2) “a tracked changes” copy. A cover letter and/or a response letter is NOT required for “accept with revision” decisions.

If the decision is a “revise and resubmit”, the authors will be instructed to submit a revised manuscript in two formats, 1) a “clean” copy, and 2) “a tracked changes” copy (as above). In addition, the authors should submit a detailed response document (either in the cover letter or in a separate response document) documenting and justifying the revisions to the manuscript based on the prior reviews. SAJ does not request a specific format for this response document thus, the responses can be in narrative or table form. SAJ strongly recommends that if the text of the revised manuscript is changed in responding to a comment, that the authors copy and paste the new (edited) text in the detailed response document. This allows the SAJ editors to assess the responses of the authors to the comments of the editors and reviewers more easily.

SAj allows a limited amount of time for authors to submit a revision without it being considered a new submission; authors receiving an “accept with revision” or “revise and resubmit” decisions are generally given 60 days to make changes and resubmit their work. Authors are expected to provide a tracked changes version of their previous submission and thoroughly address reviewer concerns when submitting a revised manuscript. SAj will also request a point-by-point response to reviewer comments from authors whose manuscripts receive a “revise and resubmit” decision. Resubmitted manuscripts may undergo an additional round of peer review. In addition, if concerns have been identified that require a new reviewer, SAj retains the right to send the revised manuscripts to new reviewers who were not part of the original reviewer team.

### Peer Reviewers

Sage does not permit the use of author-suggested (recommended) reviewers at any stage of the submission process, be that through the web-based submission system or other communication. SAj does not allow authors to designate or suggest that certain scholars not review their work; SAj does not allow suggested “opposed reviewers.”

Reviewers are generally experts in their fields and should be able to provide an objective assessment of the manuscript. SAj policy is that reviewers should not be assigned to a paper if:

- The reviewer is based at the same institution as any of the co-authors
- The reviewer is based at the funding body of the paper
- The author has recommended the reviewer
- The reviewer has provided a personal or corporate email account and an institutional email account cannot be found after performing a basic Google search (name, department, and institution).

The Editor or members of the Editorial Team may occasionally submit their own manuscripts for possible publication in the Journal. In these cases, the peer review process will be managed by alternative members of the Board and the submitting Editor/Board member will not be involved in the decision-making process.

Inadequate or improper or poor-quality external reviews may be edited or not provided to authors for consideration. In thanking the reviewers for their voluntary work, reviewers are provided all the anonymous reviews provided to the authors as well as a statement of the decision on the manuscript.

### SAj statement of the value and recognition of peer reviewers

SAj values the peer review process and its peer reviewers. The overall quality of the journal is largely in the hands of our cadre of peer reviewers, whose volunteer efforts

provide the Editorial Team with the information necessary to ensure that only the highest quality work appears in SAJ. Comments and suggestions from peer reviewers can turn a marginal paper into a publishable work that advances the field.

The Editorial Team recognizes the incredible value of peer review. To this end, all SAJ peer reviewers are recognized in an annual appreciation editorial in the journal, if they wish. In addition, SAJ presents a “Best Peer Review” award at the annual AMERSA conference in recognition of a particularly outstanding review or a meritorious body of reviews during the year. All peer reviewers are eligible for this annual award and will be recognized on the AMERSA website and SAJ twitter feed. We encourage all reviewers to indicate on their CV that they have reviewed for SAJ.

SAJ is committed to ensuring that the peer-review process is as robust and ethical as possible. The Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) guidelines regarding peer review can be found at the following link. Reviewers are suggested to read the guidelines before accepting or declining to review for SAJ. ([http://publicationethics.org/files/Ethical\\_guidelines\\_for\\_peer\\_reviewers\\_0.pdf](http://publicationethics.org/files/Ethical_guidelines_for_peer_reviewers_0.pdf)).

SAJ asks reviewers to address any racism, bias, and discrimination in their peer reviews. We also suggest that the reviewers review articles with diversity, equity, diversity, and inclusion issues, including reporting race and ethnicity, terminology used, and specific methods and results reporting (see Section 1.3 for author guidance).

SAJ does allow for mentored reviews through the email invitation to potential reviewers. Please follow the guidance on email solicitation to potential reviewers.

SAJ can provide a formal Certificate of Review to reviewers upon request.

### Sage Recognition of peer reviewers

In recognition of the peer reviewers' support, SAJ has arranged with our publisher Sage to offer peer reviewers free access to all Sage journals for 60 days upon receipt of a completed review and a 25% book discount on all Sage books ordered online.

As part of Sage's commitment to supporting the reviewer community, Sage is partnered with Web of Science Reviewer Recognition peer recognition service (<https://clarivate.com/products/scientific-and-academic-research/research-publishing-solutions/reviewer-recognition-service/>), a service that gets recognition for peer review contributions. You can also use ORCID to gain recognition for your reviews (<https://support.orcid.org/hc/en-us/articles/360006971333-Peer-Review>). If you need to provide evidence that you have reviewed for a Sage journal, such as for funding, promotions, jobs, or green card applications, peer reviewers can download their Web

of Science CV. Alternatively, peer reviewers can share the email sent to you confirming your review has been received.

Web of Science Reviewer Recognition is a free service that enables you to effortlessly record, verify, and showcase peer review contributions. Web of Science Reviewer Recognition records the number of papers peer reviewers have reviewed for a journal while maintaining reviewer anonymity in accordance with each journal's review policy. Our partnership with Web of Science Reviewer Recognition enables you to quickly and easily get the recognition for peer reviewers.

For Sage's integrated journals, which includes SAJ, peer reviewers can opt-in to receive recognition on Web of Science Reviewer Recognition when peer reviewers submit your review; peer reviewers receive an email inviting peer reviewers to set up a profile on Web of Science Reviewer Recognition and claim their reviews; peer reviewers can choose the 'auto-add' option in their profile, meaning peer reviewers reviews for this and any other integrated journals will automatically be added to your Web of Science Reviewer Recognition profile in the future, with no further action required. For any journal, peer reviewers can set up their profile on Web of Science Reviewer Recognition and can manually add any reviews completed from throughout the peer reviewers entire career by forwarding the peer reviewer's receipt email to [reviews@webofscience.com](mailto:reviews@webofscience.com) or filling out the online form from the peer reviewer's account.

To ensure the integrity of the peer review process we assign reviewers and cannot accept author recommendations.

As a COPE member we engage with multiple forms of post-publication discussion in line with wider guidance from Sage: [Commentaries, Critiques and Responses](#).

You can view our [complaints and appeals policy](#) here.

[Read Sage's complete peer review policy.](#)

### Plagiarism

The journal and Sage take issues of copyright infringement, plagiarism or other breaches of best practice in publication very seriously. [Please read Sage's complete policy on plagiarism and the actions we may take.](#)

After acceptance

## Contributor's Publishing Agreement

Before publication, we require the author as the rights holder to sign a Journal Contributor's Publishing Agreement. Sage's Journal Contributor's Publishing Agreement is an exclusive license agreement which means that the author retains copyright in the work but grants Sage the sole and exclusive right and license to publish for the full legal term of copyright. Exceptions may exist where an assignment of copyright is required or preferred by a proprietor other than Sage. In this case copyright in the work will be assigned from the author to the society. For more information please visit the [Sage Journal Author Gateway](#).

## Preprints

If your manuscript was posted on a preprint server prior to acceptance, you must include a link in your preprint to the final published version of your published article.

## Production

Your Sage Production Editor will keep you informed as to your article's progress throughout the production process. Proofs will be made available to the corresponding author via our editing portal, Sage Edit, or by email, and should be returned promptly to avoid delaying publication. Authors are reminded to check their proofs carefully to confirm that all author information, including names, affiliations, sequence, and contact details are correct, and that Funding and Conflict of Interest statements, if any, are accurate. **This is the final opportunity to make changes to your manuscript. Further corrections will not be possible after publication. Changes to the author list are not permitted at this stage.**

## Publication

**OnlineFirst publication:** This enables us to publish final articles online immediately, without waiting for assignment to a future issue of the Journal. This usually significantly reduces publication lead time. Visit the [Sage Journals help page for more details](#), including how to cite OnlineFirst articles.

**Access to your published article:** We provide you with online access to your published article. The online access link is provided to the corresponding author for sharing with their co-authors.

## Promoting your article

Publication is not the end of the process. Between us, we can ensure that your article is found, read, downloaded and cited as widely as possible. Many of the most effective tactics are those you can do quickly and easily to your network of contacts and peers. Visit the [Promote Your Article](#) page on the Sage Journal Author Gateway for numerous resources to help you promote your work.

## Further resources

The Sage Journal Author Gateway has some general advice on [how to get published](#), plus links to further resources. [Sage Author Services](#) also offers authors a variety of ways to improve and enhance your article including English language editing, plagiarism detection, and video abstract and infographic preparation.

If you have any questions about publishing with Sage, please visit the [Sage Journals Solutions Portal](#).

You can view our [complaints and appeals procedure](#).

### Contact us

You can direct any questions to the journal's editorial office:

Adam J. Gordon, MD MPH FACP DFASAM

SAj Editor-in-Chief

[SAjEditorInChief@AMERSA.org](mailto:SAjEditorInChief@AMERSA.org)

and/or

The SAj AMERSA Editorial Liaison

[saj@amersa.org](mailto:saj@amersa.org)

## Browse journal

---

Current issue

OnlineFirst

All issues

Free sample

### Journal information

---

Journal overview and metrics

Editorial board

**Submission guidelines**

Reprints

Journal permissions

Subscribe

Recommend to library

Advertising & promotion

### Keep up to date

---



Facebook



X



LinkedIn



YouTube



RSS feed



Email alerts

[View all options](#)

---

## Also from Sage

CQ Library

Elevating debate

Sage Data

Uncovering insight

**Sage Business Cases**

Shaping futures

**Sage Campus**

Unleashing potential

**Sage Knowledge**

Multimedia learning resources

**Sage Research Methods**

Supercharging research

**Sage Video**

Streaming knowledge

**Technology from Sage**

Library digital services

