

QUALITATIVE UNDERSTANDING OF COMMUNICATION BEHAVIOR

Interviews, Focus Groups, and Ethnography

"Hey Luke, how's your work-study job going this semester?"

"Good, Charlotte, I guess. It's quiet. Not a lot of students around."

"That's not what I'd expect in the Career Center."

"I know, right? It's strange. Actually, that's going to be my big assignment for next semester—trying to figure out why things are quiet. I'm going to help the director, Bonnie, find out how students see the center. What about you? Have you been there?"

"You know, I haven't this year. Last year I went there to get an internship, and people were really helpful. Now, I don't plan to apply for jobs 'til next year . . . I'll probably do that online anyway . . . so I haven't seen the need to go. How are you helping Bonnie?"

"Well, she's trying to get better information on how students see the Career Center. She sent out a survey last semester. Maybe you saw it on the e-mail blast? That gave us some information on what students saw as important, but it didn't give us a lot of in-depth information—like why they saw it as important."

"So how else are you getting information then?"

"Bonnie's starting some focus groups. You know, where there's a handful of people in the room answering questions."

"I did a focus group once, at the mall! It was a marketing thing though, testing out different movie trailers to see which ones people liked best."

"That's the same idea as Bonnie has. It's a lot of information gathering."

"I'd think that would be confusing—so many people talking at once. Why doesn't Bonnie just do interviews if she wants more information than surveys?"

"She did. She said the interviews helped shape her focus group questions. Obviously different methods can feed into each other. There's an overview of focus groups and a lot about other qualitative methods in this chapter."

"I should read it?"

"Well, Mike should read it because focus groups can involve food and beverages for participants. That aside, if you're interested in *understanding* communication—as opposed to just *measuring* it—yes!"

CHAPTER OVERVIEW

Not all human communication can be summarized satisfactorily as a "6" on a 7-point scale. Qualitative approaches to human communication may provide more insights and different insights than quantitative approaches. Intuitively, watching people and talking with them often seem preferable to measurement as research approaches, just as comprehension and understanding often seem preferable to simply being informed as research goals.

The methods discussed in this chapter are all essentially qualitative methods, and all may be used online as well as offline. More important, they share a common goal of trying to understand and interpret human behavior. This requires excellent listening, interviewing, and observational skills to obtain valid understandings of human communication, as well as excellent reporting skills to capture and convey to readers your research methods and what you have learned from your research participants.

CHAPTER OBJECTIVES

This chapter will help you

- List the advantages and disadvantages of qualitative research methods.
- Compare and contrast qualitative methods with quantitative methods.
- Explain, with examples, how to conduct the major methods of qualitative research.
- Compare and contrast online qualitative methods with traditional qualitative methods.
- Explain, with examples, the basics of coding qualitative data.
- Identify and discuss potential ethical issues related to interviews, focus groups, ethnography, and unobtrusive measures.

INTRODUCTION: ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF WATCHING AND LISTENING METHODS

This chapter covers some basic qualitative approaches to communication research—interviews, focus groups, ethnography, observational studies, unobtrusive measures, and performance studies.

Overall, these methods offer the opportunity to explore the thinking and communication behavior of individuals in depth.

Researching human communication in "full listening mode" is likely to be rewarded with insights, language, and unique logics and reasonings that surveys and experiments may not uncover. Such researchers are more likely to discover minority and dissident voices and to emerge with new understandings, perceptions, and critiques in contrast to the confirmation or disconfirmation of an idea that surveys and experiments largely provide. A performance study, for example, is often a critical method (see Chapter 2) as it critiques power structures in society.

Because many observational methods emphasize working with research participants in real-life settings, their findings are likely to have a much higher validity compared with the results of experimental research, which typically takes place in artificial settings.

At a practical level, interviews and focus groups can be set up quickly relative to experiments, which may take some time to organize. Interviews especially can take place immediately, sometimes as the fortuitous outcomes of fleeting opportunities. However, observational methods are not automatically more convenient than quantitative methods. For example, the fieldwork for a sound observational study may take months, if not years, whereas a survey—a predominantly quantitative method—can be completed in days if not hours.

Disadvantages of qualitative methods include the fact that the variability of human behavior over time puts a question mark over the reliability of findings. Because the participants in an interview or discussion are typically selected on the basis of the researcher's judgment rather than by random assignment, there can be questions about the validity of the selection and the extent to which participants represent a broader population.

The nature and level of interaction between the researcher and research participants affect what is observed and reported, so how to account for the researcher's own influence on the outcome of an interview or observation becomes an issue.

In summary, qualitative methods offer insight, understanding, and validity but not necessarily reliability or the ability to generalize with a high level of confidence.

QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE: SIMILARITIES AND DIFFERENCES

At first glance, the difference between the approaches discussed in this chapter and the surveys and experiments discussed in previous chapters is the difference between qualitative and quantitative research. It is important to realize, though, that the "qualitative or quantitative" question is neither the first nor the most important difference. Rather, as noted in Chapter 2, it is a secondary question that comes after the researcher has made basic assumptions about people and also about the basic purposes of her or his research.

Qualitative and quantitative researchers differ not so much on the use of words versus numbers as on the purpose of the research, its generalizability, and researchers' assumptions about human nature. **Quantitative** researchers look for relationships among phenomena; **qualitative** researchers look to understand phenomena as seen through the eyes of their research participants. As cultural anthropologist Clifford Geertz (1973) phrased it, the difference is between an experimental science in search of law and an **interpretive** one in search of meaning.

RESEARCHER-PARTICIPANT RELATIONSHIPS

A basic research decision is the level at which we engage with the people whose communication behavior we seek to understand. In principle, the closer we get to naturally occurring behaviors, the greater our understanding of them is likely to be. It is this basic assumption that leads researchers to observe or participate in communication in natural settings such as assembly lines, schools, hospitals, bars, or college campuses.

There is an interaction between the act of observation and what we observe and report. We can strive for distance and an impartial relationship with our informants and perhaps miss important insights, or we can decide that close personal involvement will produce more detailed accounts of our informants' lives, framed though the accounts may be by our own subjectivity. This basic decision about one's relationship with research participants is common to all of the research approaches outlined in this chapter.

Sociologist Raymond Gold (1958) described four possible relationships a researcher might have with research participants:

- The complete observer has no interaction with informants; they are not aware that they are being observed.
- The observer-as-participant role happens when the researcher makes short trips to a
 site for interviews or observations. Because the level of involvement is low, researchers
 may inadequately understand or misunderstand their informants.
- The participant-as-observer role happens when the researcher spends some time in a community. The researcher has a role in the community but is known to be studying it. Over time, mutual trust may develop between the researcher and research participants, but this trust has the potential to cause problems. For example, as the relationship approaches friendship, one or both parties may be reluctant to ask or to answer questions in a way that will hurt the friendship.
- In the complete-participant role, the researcher participates so closely in informants' lives that his or her research role may be unknown to them. A potential problem with this role is that researchers may become so involved in their participant role that they cannot function well as observers.

The precise balance between participant and observer is a function of how best to study the communication phenomena you are interested in, how each of the above roles might shape the nature of the data you gather and your reporting, and the ethical standards influencing your relationship(s) with research participants.

WATCHING AND LISTENING METHODS

In this chapter, we will follow a hypothetical research project focusing on students' use of social media. Bonnie—the observant director of a campus career services office—uses qualitative research methods to get some insight on a campus trend affecting her work. Her office has two major responsibilities. The first is preparing students for the world of employment by offering, for example, workshops in the art of the employment interview, networking, and résumé preparation. The second is alerting students to new employment opportunities.

Bonnie describes the effects that students' ever-increasing use of social media seems to be having on the services her office provides. For example, she is seeing a dramatic decline in the number of students using Career Center job search resources because, she thinks, they are directly accessing online search services. Similarly, workshops on networking and résumé preparation are poorly attended. She speculates that this is because students are actively networking via social media and see no need for workshops on how to do that. As for résumé workshops, one student has told her, "We don't need them. Every job application is online now. You just go online and answer the questions."

Bonnie tries to summarize the pluses and minuses of social media with respect to getting students successfully employed.

She hypothesizes that students who are active in the social media world will be more likely than less active students to be informed of the job market and to have good job search competencies. However, a second hypothesis is that they are more likely to lack such interpersonal skills as maintaining a face-to-face conversation, interviewing, problem solving with a team, cross-cultural communication, and superior-subordinate communication. In either case, there are practical implications for the Career Center, which may have to shift the type of services and training it offers. Of course, Bonnie may find no evidence to support her hypotheses. It may well be that students who are active social media users are highly competent in interpersonal skills, teamwork, and problem solving; it is just that they do it online rather than face to face.

A logical start to getting some answers would be to interview students.

Interviews

Essentially, an **interview** is a question-answer session between a researcher and **informants**, individuals selected because they can talk about others as well as themselves, or **respondents**, individuals who are regarded as speaking only for themselves.

Questions range in specificity and intent. An interview may consist of specific questions requiring nothing more than a simple "yes-no" response, or if an open-minded, exploratory approach is best, the researcher may use open-ended questions such as "I'm new to this; can you explain what's going on here?"

Structure

As Bonnie is at the beginning of her research and has hypotheses that are tentative for now, it is likely that she will start with unstructured interviews. But the question of how much to **structure** an interview will always arise.

Unstructured interviews come from the need to understand communication phenomena in informants' own terms. In this situation, interviews will likely begin with very broad, openended "tell me about . . ." questions. There is no predetermined set of questions, although, as the interview progresses, the questions will focus more on the researcher's basic interests.

Semistructured interviews dictate the broad questions to be asked but allow both the interviewer and the interviewee room to move. For example, Bonnie may decide that she has two broad questions: What sources of information do students use to find jobs, and where do they get advice on interview skills? Because there are only two basic questions, she has time for follow-up questions and for interviewees to volunteer information.

Fully structured interviews mean that the researcher has predetermined the questions, their format, and the order in which they will be asked. In our example, Bonnie would have a specific list of preformatted questions related to the use of specific social media, the role of friends in a job search, attitudes toward the Career Center, and the like.

Sequence

Sequence refers to the order in which questions occur. You will recall from Chapter 9 that questions may move in a funnel format from broad and general to narrow and specific or start with specific questions and move to broader questions. Most interviews begin with questions that informants can easily handle, such as class year or job title. Note, though, that seemingly harmless questions such as about age, religion, or even names may be intensely personal and emotional to interviewees and not a good starting point for an interview. Consider whether such questions are necessary if you sense that they might affect substantive aspects of the interview.

Question Types

You need different types of questions to elicit, probe, and interpret informants' understandings of the phenomena you are interested in. Anthropology professor James Spradley (1979) developed several often-used question categories as follows:

- **Descriptive questions** ask informants to describe phenomena. "Mini-tour" questions are one type of descriptive question; they ask for an overview of everyday occurrences. For example, "In a typical semester, how do you use social networking sites?"
- Structural questions explore the relationships among the terms informants use.
 For example, "Would you describe an online job search as part of your social networking:"
- Contrast questions help researchers understand the differences between and the relative importance of informants' concepts. For example, "You talk about 'job search' and 'career search.' Please explain the difference between these two." Another type of contrast question is a rating or ranking question. For example, "You talked about search engines, social media, and texting. Which of these two are most similar? Which one would you say differs most from the other two? Why?"

If you use all of the above question types, you can have some confidence that you have thoroughly explored your interviewees' views of their worlds and that you have an in-depth understanding of them.

Prompts

Interviewees may not respond to questions for many reasons. They may be naturally reticent, find the interviewer intimidating, or simply misunderstand the question.

What to do? Use **prompts**. Prompts are the follow-up questions that elicit more information and keep the interview progressing. Classic prompts include "tell me more" and the "5Ws + H" of journalism: for example, *Who* (else feels the same way that you do?), *What* (are the steps involved in ___?), *When* (do most people ___?), *Where* (did you first ___?), *Why* (do you say that?), and *How* (does ___ differ from ___?).

Reflecting interviewees' words back to them may get further responses. For example, "I heard you say that a job is not the same as a career; can you explain that?"

And then there is strategic silence. If you have ever noticed that someone in a discussion will ultimately fill a silence, you have noticed a basic interview technique. Keep silent in the hope that your interviewee will fill the silence.

Interviews need not be 100% talk. As part of an interview, respondents could be asked to demonstrate how they do something (for example, their online job search strategies), to describe what they see happening in a video, or to rank order photographs of different products or a series of statements describing their ideal political candidate.

Interviewee Considerations

It is important to develop trust and a rapport with **interviewees**. What kind of person might best accomplish this? Another person just like them; a high-powered PhD researcher; a new-comer hoping to learn from them; a compassionate, engaged, empathetic listener; or a dispassionate, neutral, nonjudgmental listener? We are all predisposed to respond differently to questions depending on who asks them.

In terms of presenting yourself successfully, honesty is the best policy for reasons of ethics, credibility, and maintaining a consistent persona, but note from the Ethics Panel in this chapter that positioning yourself as a different person may be necessary and may be approved by institutional review boards (IRBs).

The interview setting may also affect the interview. For example, workplace settings may constrain what employees feel they can tell you. Bonnie may have student interviewees come to her office; meet them in a dining area; or, moving into ethnographic mode, sit with them in campus apartments while she observes their use of social media. Interviews in Bonnie's office may free students from feelings of peer pressure but then have them feeling a need to provide the answers they think she is looking for. Students may feel more relaxed about meeting in a neutral space, such as a dining area.

Consider religious and cultural sensitivities when planning interviews. For cross-cultural interviews in particular, dress, body language, vocabulary, perceived status, and gender relations all need to be considered. For example, Xerri (2005) had his sisters set up interviews with women who for cultural reasons would have otherwise been reluctant to be interviewed by a male.

Recording

Audio- or video-recording interviews and focus groups (see below) can save you from taking notes and allow you to focus on the interview or behavior. Listening or viewing your recordings as soon as possible after making them allows you to update any notes while your memory is still fresh and gives you a second perspective on your observations.

People may "clam up" in front of a camera, may be nervous about what will happen to the recorded interview, or may decide to talk "for the record." In other words, what they say "on the record" may differ from what they might have told you "off the record." You have an ethical obligation to disclose that the interview will be recorded, if that is your plan, and you may have to negotiate whether recording will happen or not.

Focus Groups

A disadvantage of the one-on-one interview is that no matter how well informed and representative of others the interviewee may be, the interviewer is getting the views of only one person and no sense of how others might agree or disagree with those views.

A common method to elicit and test ideas that might arise outside the limits of the one-on-one interview is to bring a small group of people together in a **focus group**. Traditional "in-person" focus groups typically consist of 6 to 12 people in a discussion setting led by a **moderator** or **facilitator** to discuss a topic of interest. Focus groups can be used to explore such pragmatic issues as how people interpret and respond to political campaign messages or to help researchers operationalize theoretical constructs and hypotheses. They are often used before surveys to pretest survey questions, and they may be used after surveys to help researchers understand the survey results.

Focus groups are based on the assumption that the ideas that emerge from several people discussing a topic are of greater quality and diversity and provide more insight than the ideas generated by the same people interviewed separately as individuals. These groups should provide new insights, concepts, and vocabulary; a sense of why members think the way they do; and the ideas that members agree and disagree on. We hope for a "2 + 2 = 5" effect, noting, however, that a poorly selected group has the potential to provide a "2 + 2 = 3" effect, especially with an inept moderator.

Because focus group members most commonly are sampled judgmentally or on the basis of convenience, the method's major weaknesses are reliability and the ability to generalize to a wider population. Often the reliability question can be addressed by running a second focus group and comparing the results with those from the first group.

Generally, focus group participants are selected by the researcher to represent a defined demographic group such as college seniors looking for jobs. Within such groups, the researcher hopes to find a diversity of opinions on the topic by recruiting, for example, social media "geeks" and students who have yet to join a social networking site.

A focus group on students' use of social media would give Bonnie insight on the new "buzz words" and terminology that she needs to understand and, importantly, on the meanings that students associate with these words. Ideally, the group should generate new ideas she has not thought of and also show her areas where students agree and disagree.

Focus group moderators need the skills to encourage reticent members to speak and to control the more verbose members. They need to take a middle ground between allowing group members free expression and keeping the discussion focused on the topic at hand. To keep the discussion on track and to maintain order, the moderator should prepare in advance a discussion guide that lists key questions and the question order. The same prompts that help an interview along can be used to prompt a reticent focus group, but the ideal focus group has a level of interaction among its members such that prompting should be unnecessary.

Typically, the group's discussion will be audio or video recorded to provide transcripts for subsequent analysis. Professionally run focus groups often take place in a room with one-way mirrors so that observers can follow the discussion without their presence disrupting it. Group members may be offered drinks and snacks and may receive a small payment or a donation to a charity of their choice.

Online Focus Groups

Increasingly, focus groups are run online, especially in applied communication research areas such as marketing. Online groups offer the advantages of low cost, time saving, and the ability to link people internationally. Focus group software can record the discussion; offer anonymity to participants; and allow the moderator to contact participants individually and, if necessary, privately. Online groups can run for days or weeks as necessary and offer members the convenience of participating from their homes or offices.

The disadvantages of online focus groups include anonymity, the absence of nonverbal communication, and Internet technology itself. The same anonymity that can encourage free and frank discussion can also present a focus group moderator with the problem of not knowing who exactly is participating in a group. The technology itself can have a negative impact in that group members with poor Internet connections will be less able to participate. Even with high-speed, reliable connections, members with limited keyboard skills will be less able to participate and to express themselves spontaneously.

Online groups may be **asynchronous**, with members contributing in their own time, or **synchronous**, with members interacting in "real time." The asynchronous mode allows for a greater number of participants and may reduce feelings of pressure to respond to an idea. It may also reduce anxieties about answering sensitive questions and encourage in-depth responses because members can reply to questions in their own time. The synchronous mode is likely to be more efficient and to stimulate more interaction, but participants may be more likely to tire of discussions held in this mode rather than in the asynchronous mode.

Online focus group research can include the virtual world of **avatars**—users' online characters. Researching avatars can present problems, such as distributing and getting back completed consent forms, but, on the other hand, this type of research offers group members the advantages of anonymity and the opportunity to express opinions they might not express in the "real world" (Houliez & Gamble, 2012).

A theoretically and practically important question for moderators of virtual groups is the status of the avatar. Is the research interest in the avatar or the person behind the avatar? The question is further complicated by the fact that one "real" person may be the force behind several different avatars.

You can make your own good assessment of the relative advantages and disadvantages of online, offline, and perhaps virtual focus groups by thinking about your own experience with online and regular in-class discussions and identifying the factors that hindered and facilitated discussion in each case.

Any successful focus group has clear objectives, a membership appropriate to the research questions, carefully prepared questions and facilities (offline and/or online), and a moderator who facilitates an active, creative discussion that involves all members and remains focused on the topic.

Ethnographic Methods

Many varieties of watching and listening research take place under the umbrella of ethnography. **Ethnography** is basically the study of human social behavior or cultures. The term *ethnography* (from the Greek *ethnos* = people; *graphein* = writing) suggests that we are observing, describing, and interpreting people's behavior.

Kirsch (2001) identifies some principles of ethnographic research:

- Conduct research primarily in natural settings.
- Combine direct observation with interviews.
- Focus on local, subjective, knowledge, and categories.
- Engage directly with the community's members.

A number of important decisions must precede ethnographic research. These include defining the research questions, which may or may not be specific; identifying potential gatekeepers and informants; deciding whether to interview people individually or in groups; choosing between structured and unstructured interviews; and deciding what to observe and how to record, analyze, and present ethnographic data.

Whether the research interest is a village, a virtual world, a surgical team, a film studio, or a local pub, ethnographers seek to immerse themselves in its culture and natural setting. They seek to describe accurately the attitudes and the behaviors that define this culture and that differentiate it from other cultures. They seek to understand the culture's shared meanings that explain its behaviors and norms. While the generic research question is simple—"How and why do things get done in this culture?" or even more simply "What's going on here?"—getting answers and credible explanations of those answers may take months or years. Because the time available for research may be limited, some communication studies may be "ethnographically informed" rather than being full ethnographies, which can require a researcher's full immersion for a year or more. For example, it may be possible to study a group of students for only one semester, but not for the four years of their college careers.

In practice, ethnographies of all types begin with the formal and informal **gatekeepers** who make access to a community or culture possible. Formal gatekeepers are those individuals whose permission makes the access officially possible. Access to a work team, for example, will typically require a formal approval from management in return for which the researcher may have to provide written assurances that the organization and the individuals in it will not be identified, that no competing organization is involved in the study, and that no proprietary information will be published. Management approval can be a mixed blessing; you get access to employees but then may have to address employee suspicions about your management-approved research.

Formal approvals do not necessarily ease the way into the community to be studied. For a successful entry into the community, **key informants** are required. These are the individuals who are part of the study community and who for their own reasons have agreed to introduce the researcher to this community and legitimize the researcher's work as nonthreatening.

Some research requires that researchers work in disguise, for example as "fake" hospital patients or students (see the Ethics Panel in this chapter). It is more likely that researchers will identify themselves as what they are—researchers with a genuine interest in how and why the community or culture functions. Doing so reduces the potential for ethically suspect relationships and potential complications, such as being exposed as a phony when the members of a work team discover that their fellow employee is not actually an employee.

All ethnographies involve detailed observation and recording, typically in the form of voluminous written notes but increasingly with audio- and video-recording. Regardless of the recording media, the researcher typically will aim to capture a rich diversity of

data—behaviors; dress; decor; rites and rituals; language and the meanings associated with specific words and phrases; greetings and salutations; respect for authority; relationships between men and women, old and young, and superiors and subordinates; use of time; work versus social behaviors; and so on.

Generally, ethnographers record at least three different kinds of notes. **Descriptive notes** are the primary records that detail the raw data of human interactions and the settings that are the focus of the ethnography. **Method notes** record the specific methods used to gather data on any given day—for example, direct observation, group interviews, video recording, screen capture, or reading social media content. Method notes are necessary because of the interaction between method and data. For example, individuals are likely to behave and speak differently in one-on-one interviews than they are in a group setting.

Analytic notes are the notes the ethnographer writes in order to interpret the raw data. They are reflexive in that the ethnographer will visit and revisit the notes repeatedly, and her theories and explanations will change as the study progresses and as more data are gathered. For example, she might initially see a power relationship between two individuals as best explained by an age difference or a respect for authority and then, as she gathers more data, come to understand the relationship as best explained by a difference in technical expertise. This interpretation would then trigger further observations and interviews. Such "looping back" through data, method notes, and analytic notes continues until the ethnographer is comfortable that there is no new information to be had and that she has considered all possible explanations and arrived at her best possible understanding of the culture she is studying.

At this point, the task becomes writing the best possible narrative that will explain to interested readers how life is lived as a member of the culture. One feature that distinguishes an ethnographic report is the use of the participants' own language. The availability of audiovisual media and hypermedia presents new challenges and opportunities for recording and reporting, as we shall see in Chapter 14.

Ethnographic Starting Points

"What specifically should I focus on?" can be a difficult question to answer for ethnographic studies because there are so many possible starting points. Sociolinguist Dell Hymes (1974) developed a system for examining communication as a cultural practice and proposed six basic units a researcher might study:

- 1. **Speech community**—a group of people who share common signs, a language that differentiates them from other groups, and rules governing their speech. Example: a group of communication majors.
- 2. **Speech situation**—the occasions within a speech community when people talk. Example: A class on research methods or an annual awards dinner.
- 3. **Speech event**—the specific speech activity that takes place. Example: a student presentation or an awards speech.
- 4. **Communicative act**—the smaller units of speech within a speech event. Example: asking a question or telling a joke.
- 5. **Communicative style**—the speech style that is characteristic of someone. Example: being habitually ironic or using "geek" jargon.

6. **Ways of speaking**—the styles of speech that may be used in specific situations and events or that are characteristic of a culture. Example: at the beginning of a class, the instructor speaks before students do.

After choosing one of the above units to study, you would then analyze it by asking a set of questions that Hymes developed. These questions are summarized in the acronym SPEAK-ING, and each item helps a researcher document the language and meanings in a speech community. The SPEAKING items are as follows:

- **Situation**—the setting where the activities take place and the overall scene of which they are a part. Example: a college classroom.
- Participants—the people present and their roles and relationships within the speech situation. Example: students and faculty.
- Ends—the ends or goals of the communication being studied. Example: mastering the language of communication research.
- Acts—the language and behaviors that convey meaning to the participants. Example: instructors demonstrating a specific research method.
- **Key**—the tone of speech. How the speech sounds. Example: formal or friendly.
- **Instrumentality**—the channels or methods used to communicate. Example: an online discussion group.
- **Norms**—the rules governing speech and its interpretation. Example: students cannot ask questions until after the instructor has spoken.
- **Genres**—the traditional types of speech found in most cultures. Examples: commencement speeches, election "stump" speeches, lectures, and funeral orations.

By working through Hymes's units of analysis, we can describe how people communicate, their patterns of communication, and how language is used and understood. This approach is far from being the only entry point into ethnography, but the emphasis on communication and the specific questions to be asked will help you with potential starting points for an ethnographic study.

Online Ethnography

The approaches and issues outlined in this chapter are all applicable to online ethnography, but online ethnography has some unique characteristics to be considered. For example, the online world provides no direct observation of human behavior, and the researcher is faced with data unique to the web such as **emoticons**, avatars, web pages, blogs, wikis, and hyperlinks.

Typically, online text, audio, graphics, and video can be easily recorded using screen-save software, so the effort of recording raw data is much reduced relative to traditional ethnographies.

Kozinets (2013) sees the differences between online and traditional ethnographies to be so evident that a new term—netnography—becomes necessary for online ethnographies. He defines netnography as "a specialized form of ethnography adapted to the unique computer-mediated contingencies of today's social worlds" (p. 1). According to Kozinets, netnography is an applied, interdisciplinary approach involving anthropology, sociology, and cultural studies, and whereas ethnography is entirely face to face, netnography is entirely online.

Kozinets's distinction notwithstanding, there is not necessarily a choice between offline and online ethnography because a research question may well imply both. For example, an exploration of how graduating seniors communicate with each other about employment would be seriously shortchanged if it were restricted to either web-only communication or interpersonal communication.

Ethnography at first sight may seem unstructured and unfocused, especially relative to the experimental method in which very specific research designs are proposed to test very specific hypotheses about human communication. It may conjure up the image of earnest explorers in pith helmets living with strange tribes in remote places. The image has some validity as a metaphor for serious, committed, and engaged inquiry into the communication behaviors of others, but ethnographers' interests are as modern as any other research interests today, just consider their focus on cyber-ethnography and the seemingly accepted neologism of "netnography."

Observational Studies

Observational studies typically record and interpret individual and group behaviors in their natural settings. Ethnography depends upon observation, but not every observational study is an ethnography. You could, for example, observe the behavior of music fans at a rock concert without doing any of the in-depth interviews or long-term observations that ethnography typically requires.

Many qualitative studies are observational without being ethnographies, for example observations of student-teacher interaction in the classroom or of small groups engaged in problem solving. In these two examples, observational methods will not necessarily provide an understanding of what it means to be a teacher, a student, or a member of a group, but they may well provide valid findings about communication and perhaps even reliable findings that will allow us to predict whether a particular teaching style will be effective with reticent students or what specific behaviors most facilitate or hinder a group's problem solving.

Observational studies use many of the methods described above and possibly even quasiexperimental methods, as in the observation of a group put together specifically to solve a problem assigned by the researcher under conditions defined by the researcher.

At the other extreme, a researcher may have no contact with the individuals being researched at all. This means using unobtrusive measures, as described below.

Unobtrusive Measures

Unobtrusive measures document people's behavior without them being aware of it. This can be important when we suspect that there may be a difference between words and action. For example, suppose research participants assure us in interviews or surveys that they would never text while driving and that they always wear their seat belts. True? Take a look at campus traffic to observe directly the extent of texting and seat belt usage. Interested in whether your informants' accounts of healthy eating match reality? You can unobtrusively observe campus dining behavior to get a sense of the cheeseburger-to-fruit ratio on cafeteria trays, or you can ask the dining services manager for data on what items are best sellers. Want a check on student alcohol consumption? Check out dormitory or apartment garbage, or campus police records. For a check on campus political sentiments, check out campaign stickers on employee and student vehicles, or graffiti. How might a car dealer decide which radio stations to advertise on? Have service staff record what station each car radio is tuned to when the vehicle comes in for service.

Most unobtrusive measures do not provide a direct check on any one individual's self-report, but they can provide a general sense of whether the self-reports you get of people's behaviors are credible.

Performance Studies

Often, when we discuss performance we think of numbers: golf scores, GPA, or income. None of those is what's being discussed here. Rather, in performance studies, researchers look at behavior performatively, or as a performance. For example, how does the identity of "student" get performed at your university? How does Bonnie perform the role of "Career Center director"? Or even, how does the "Career Center" perform?

Performance studies are multidisciplinary. Stemming originally from theatre and literary interpretation, it now includes communication, sociology, gender studies, critical race studies, and anthropology, among other disciplines. "Scholars in the field investigate storytelling, ritual, dance, music, and theater: live and mediated, professional and amateur. But they also deploy "performance" as a heuristic tool for examining practices of everyday life" (Hamera, 2018, p. 1). *Heuristic* refers to **heurism**, or the principle of enabling people to learn by making discoveries for themselves; in this context, the term means a practical or intuitive way of learning more about such practices. For example, shopping for groceries, ordering coffee, or doing a job interview—all could be opportunities for performance studies.

Much of performance is ritual. "Even when we think we're being original, most of what we do and utter has been said and done before—by us even" (Schechner, 2013, p. 52). Schechner argues that in liminal performances—those taking place in the in-between space as we're moving from one place to another in our lives—rites of passage and transformations can occur. For example, the process of graduating from a university and interviewing for and being offered a first professional job can be a liminal phase of ritual. Or think of your graduation—when graduates walk across the stage and move their tassel right to left. What might that ritual performance mean? How should it be interpreted?

For example, MacDonald (2018) writes about lighthouse keeping as tourism performance, and argues that the performance of lighthouse keeping has changed. In earlier times, keepers were required to keep the lighthouses functional and to save sailors from shipwrecks. Current performances can be understood as saving work, curating work, and advocacy work. Saving, as currently used, means to save lighthouses from destruction. Curating involves organizing displays, exhibits, and signage at lighthouses for tourists to engage with. Advocacy relates to educational and tourism performances—acts that show the public the importance of these sites. "Each of these presents a continuation of and departure from historical keeping. These departures mark and are marked as 'authentic' performances of keeping. Taken together, they create a performative repertoire beyond heritage tourism" (p. 27). Examples of performance studies range from oral interpretation to storytelling to cultural critique. See also Conquergood (2002) in the Recommended Reading section for an overview of performance studies.

Let's return to Bonnie and consider the Career Center's performance. For example, perhaps the Career Center's performance has changed over time: what was once an office with paper information, mock interview appointments, and a list of local internships now performs as a mostly online office hosting podcasts, online workshops, and interactive résumé builders, so the physical office now performs a secondary role for those who would like face-to-face help with interview prep.

We might also consider Bonnie's own performance as Career Center director. For example, might we see that her traditional performance as a university administrator managing "walkin" services has changed over time to that of an online coach for students plus an entrepreneur promoting her Career Center's services?

Exhibit 13.1 shows a brief summary of the strengths and weaknesses of the methods discussed in this chapter.

EXHIBIT 13.1 ■ Summ	ary of Qualitative Methods		
Method	Summary	Advantages	Disadvantages
Interviews	The process of asking questions of a respondent, usually face to face or by phone or video, to elicit information the researcher is interested in.	 Detailed information in participants' own words. Understanding how participants frame their own experiences. 	 Large amounts of data to analyze. Can be time-consuming, particularly transcribing Can be influenced by moderator. Participants' memories are sometimes inaccurate.
Focus Group	Small group of people brought together to discuss a topic of interest to the researcher.	 Varying opinions from people on the same topic. Detailed information in participants' own words. Can see interaction between participants. 	 Some participants can take over discussion, limiting dialogue. Can be influenced by moderator. Too much data can be overpowering.
Ethnography	The study of human social behavior, typically with emphasis on description.	Rich data. Learn about people's experiences directly.	 Large amounts of data to analyze. Not all locations are accessible. Topics are usually very narrow. Time-intensive method.
Unobtrusive Measures	Observations of people's behavior without them being aware of such observation.	 No researcher effect on those observed. Can compare information to self-reports. 	 Researcher's assessment might be inaccurate. Potential validity problems. Potential ethical problems related to privacy.
Performance Studies	Study of performance in ritual and situational contexts.	 Explores understudied topics. Studies power and context. 	Topics very narrow.Time-sensitive methods

MAKING SENSE OF QUALITATIVE DATA

When you gather data on people's behavior by watching and listening, you record words and actions rather than numbers. The question then becomes how to establish a sense of order and interpret what may be hours of audio or video recordings or page after page and box after box of notes, transcripts, or observations.

The basis of many qualitative analyses is **categorization**—that is, identifying each piece of data as belonging to a particular category predetermined by the researcher or generated from the data itself. By analyzing these categories and the relationships among categories, researchers are able to see patterns of behavior or thinking that shed light on research interests.

Fundamentally, there are three ways of categorizing qualitative information. The first is to assign items to specific unchanging, preassigned categories (fixed coding). The second is to start with theoretically informed categories that may change as new data come in (flexible coding). The third is to start with no preconceived categories and to allow categories and theories to emerge as data analysis progresses (grounded-in-data coding).

Fixed Coding

Coding typically means assigning units of information to preassigned categories and then counting the frequency with which these different units occur. Suppose, for example, we are questioning students in an attempt to understand their use of social media. With **fixed coding**, we might, for example, hypothesize that four important factors will explain students' use of social media—convenience, relaxation, escape, and the opportunity for social interaction with friends. We would then develop a simple record sheet that records the number of times we find each of these mentioned in our data. Such a coding sheet would look like Exhibit 13.2.

Flexible Coding

A problem with fixed coding is that it provides no room for the inevitable "other" categories that will emerge as we read through our interview or focus group transcripts. Furthermore, one of the reasons we listen to people in the first place is to gain new insights. **Flexible coding** allows for new categories to emerge rather than forcing every piece of information into preconceived categories or perhaps one additional and less than useful "other" category.

XHIBIT 13.2 Sample Analysis Sheet for Qualitative Data: Predetermined Categories (Fixed Coding)					
Un	Understanding Student Use of Social Media				
Categories Derived From Theory	Number of Mentions	Reasons Item Is Considered Important			
Convenience					
Relaxation					
Escape					
Social Viewing					

For example, as we read though people's answers about social media, it appears that there are two broad reasons for using them—convenience and the opportunity to socialize. As we examine the explanations we have been given about convenience, we see that several different notions of "convenience" emerge—geographic (*I can stay home and socialize*), scheduling (*I can socialize anytime*), portability (*I can socialize on my smartphone*), and cost (*I don't need money to go to the movies or a restaurant*). All of these ideas seem to fit under the umbrella of "convenience," so we decide to set up four subcategories, as shown in Exhibit 13.3.

Similarly, "socializing," turns out to have three components. Two are perhaps predictable—"relaxation" and "social interaction." Also, it appears that online socializing is a social learning opportunity in the sense that students learn from other students and recently graduated friends about the social behaviors associated with careers and different types of employment. If students' definition of social media includes movie sites such as YouTube, they may even be learning about career behaviors specific to criminal justice, health care, business, and entertainment. They may even change courses or majors on the basis of what they learn from such sites. This is clearly a new concept, and it might be reasonable to set up a new "social learning" category called "career skills." For the moment, use of movie sites appears to be seen as part of socializing, but as our analysis progresses, we may decide that this is a major new concept related to career development and that we will be able to rationalize setting it up as a third major category, alongside "convenience" and "socializing."

Many qualitative analyses are grounded in an approach developed by Glaser and Strauss (1967) that considers theory as being "grounded in data." That is to say, rather than using data to test a theory or hypothesis, the theory itself emerges as the data analysis proceeds.

A basic of the **grounded theory** approach is the "constant comparative method." In this technique, we would look at statements and ideas that emerge from our observations and assign each statement to a category. The constant comparative method consists of testing each new statement or idea against the categories we have developed and reworking categories as necessary as our data analysis proceeds. In effect, we did this in previous paragraphs when we analyzed the data students provided on their use of social media and developed the summary table shown as Exhibit 13.3.

The above examples of coding might be regarded as an analytic approach in which the number and relationship of categories of data help build a theory about human communication. Another approach is interpretive; the researcher probes for the values and motivations that seem to be behind the observed data. The researcher tries to understand what it is that individuals are trying to achieve with, for example, their social media postings or what values define a community for its members and make it different from other communities.

Moving between analysis and interpretation, between data and hypotheses, and between different samples of data can help establish the relative importance of different observations and the relationships among them. Your hypotheses should change and evolve as a result. You can test your hypotheses by looking for data that contradict them. They should become less and less tentative to the point where you can have confidence in proposing a general theory about human communication in a particular setting.

There is no compelling reason to analyze all your data at once. Starting with a small judgmental sample of data may allow you to categorize and interpret it more readily. You can test your initial reading of your data by checking it against a further sample.

EXHIBIT 13.3 ■ Sample Analysis Sheet for Qualitative Data: Categories Emerge From Data (Flexible Coding)							
Understanding Student Use of Social Media							
Categories Emerge From Data			Working Notes				
Convenience	geographic scheduling portability of medium		 Which of these are most used as reasons to use social media? Is there a difference between weeknight relaxation and weekend relaxation? Am I hearing different words for the same concept? Are residents and commuters telling me the same thing? Note: Need to be able to write an account that student would recognize as authentic. 				
	cost	money					
Socializing	relaxation	gaming social planning					
	social interaction	keeping current					
	social learning	networking careers					
		work behaviors					

Qualitative Analysis Software

Just as there are statistical programs to handle numeric data, computer-assisted qualitative data analysis software (CAQDAS) handles qualitative data, including text, audio, graphics, video, and social media chat.

Basically, such programs allow the user to enter text such as interviews and then to search for words and phrases and pull together items that are flagged as belonging to a particular category. New coding categories can be created as new ideas emerge from examining the data. Typically, you will be able to search for terms, examine terms in context, display the frequencies of key terms, and produce graphic displays of the relationships among terms. Most programs offer tutorials, webinars, free trials, and user forums. Websites for some such programs are listed at the end of this chapter.

ETHICS PANEL

IN WHICH A PROFESSOR BECOMES A STUDENT

"Rebekah Nathan," a "50-something" professor of anthropology, decided that she needed to better understand her students and their lives. She took a sabbatical leave and, on the basis of her high-school transcript, enrolled as a freshman student at her own university for a semester. She moved into a dorm,

took on a full course load, ate in the student cafeteria, joined student clubs, played volleyball and tag football, and, of course, attended class and completed (most) assignments.

To understand student life, she drew on interviews and conversations with classmates, as well as observations and interactions with professors and university staff. The issues she explored included friendships, race relations and social life, classroom participation, eating and sleeping in class, plagiarism, scheduling conflicts, dropping readings and assignments, holding down a job, not holding down food, and relations with faculty.

Nathan did not volunteer that she was a professor but did not lie if anyone specifically asked her. In day-to-day interactions, she allowed students to assume she was one of them. When conducting formal interviews, she identified herself as a researcher, explained her study, and obtained written permission to publish informants' words. She did reveal her identity to some students with whom she developed a close relationship.

On the one hand, Nathan has been criticized for enhancing her own academic career at the expense of students; on the other hand, she has been commended for following approved procedures such as obtaining informed consent and clearing her study through her university's IRB.

You can read the author's own views on ethics and ethnography in the Afterword to her book (Nathan, 2005).

Review Chapter 3—"Ethics: What Are My Responsibilities as a Researcher?"—and answer the following.

Questions

- Why would "Ms. Nathan" not want to be open about her status, occupation, and reason for being on campus?
- Do you feel that students involved in this study were exploited in any way?
- Do any aspects of this study strike you as ethically suspect? Why or why not?
- Nathan's research was approved by the campus IRB.
 Do you agree or disagree with the board's decision?
 Why?

Resources

American Psychological Association, Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct...... www.apa.orq/ethics/code

National Communication Association Code of Professional Ethics for the Communication Scholar/Teacher.
....https://www.natcom.org/sites/default/files/
Public_Statement_A_Code_of_Professional_Ethics_
for_the_Communication_Scholar_Teacher_2017.pdf

Chapter Summary

- Qualitative methods are generally based on the assumption that people are idiosyncratic and have unique and subjective views of the world. The inability to generalize to a larger population is not therefore regarded as a problem.
- Qualitative researchers may begin with theoretically derived hypotheses or develop theories from research data as it is analyzed.
- Qualitative methods are generally preferred over surveys and experiments for their ability to elicit people's views in their own words.

- Qualitative research may be structured and ask questions predetermined by the researcher or be open ended and elicit ideas that informants volunteer.
- Participant or unobtrusive observation provides a check on whether people's words match their behaviors.
- Qualitative studies of online communication must deal with entities unique to the web such as avatars and emoticons and can be limited to the extent that online social behavior is mostly text and image based.

Key Terms

acts 269 analytic notes 268 asynchronous 266 avatars 266 CAQDAS 275 categorization 273 communicative act 268 communicative style 268 contrast questions 263 descriptive notes 268 descriptive questions 263 emoticons 269

ends 269 ethnography 266 facilitator 265 fixed coding 273 flexible coding 273 focus group 265

fully structured interviews 263

gatekeepers 267 genres 269

grounded theory 274

heurism 271 informants 262 instrumentality 269 interpretive 261 interviewees 264 interview 262 key 269

key informants 267 method notes 268 moderator 265 netnography 269 norms 269

observational studies 270 participants 269

performance studies 271

prompts 264 qualitative 261 quantitative 261 respondents 262 semistructured interviews 263

sequence 263 situation 269

speech community 268 speech event 268 speech situation 268 structural questions 263 structure 262

synchronous 266 unstructured interviews 262 ways of speaking 269

Application Exercises

Exercise 1: An Ethnographic Study

Review in this chapter the broad research question of how students use the Internet to find out about employment and apply for jobs. You decide that the best insights on student job hunting on the Internet will come from an in-depth ethnographic study of students as they do this online job search. Using the Dell Hymes questions outlined in this chapter, set out your plans for such a study. It should include key informants, an outline of the observations you would make, the questions you would ask your research participants, media content that you might want to read, and any permissions that you might need to obtain before your study begins.

Exercise 2: An Interview

You are interested in how exactly a student might go about using the Internet to locate jobs and apply for them. Assuming that a structured interview with specific questions is the best way to get this information, write out the specific questions you would want to ask a student whom you identify as being highly experienced in the art of the online job search.

Exercise 3: Performance Studies

You are interested in students' preparations for job interviews. If you were interested in studying this topic using the performance studies approach, where would you begin? What questions would you ask? How might performance studies questions and results differ from those for interviews or ethnography?

Recommended Reading

Conquergood, D. (2002). Performance studies: Interventions and radical research. *TDR: The Drama Review*, 46(2), 145–156.

Argues for the expansion of performance studies and what qualifies as performance studies.

Daymon, C., & Holloway, I. (2010). *Qualitative methods in public relations and marketing communications* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.

A guide to planning, implementing, and writing research in the applied fields of public relations and marketing.

Krueger, R. A., & Casey, M. A. (2015). *Focus groups: A practical guide for applied research* (5th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Covers the practicalities of planning and running focus groups and analyzing and reporting results.

Lindlof, T. R., & Taylor, B. C. (2017). *Qualitative* communication research methods (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Shows with examples how qualitative studies are designed, conducted, and written.

Spradley, J. P. (1979). *The ethnographic interview*. New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

Describes 12 steps for developing an ethnographic study.

Recommended Web Resources

Ethnography of Communication......www.cios.org/ency clopedia/ethnography/index.htm

An overview of Dell Hymes's ethnography of communications.

Forum: Qualitative Social Research.....www.qualitativeresearch.net

An open-access online academic journal of qualitative social research.

Qualitative Research Consultants Association.....www .grca.org

Links to qualitative research practitioners.

University of Surrey, Social Research Update......http://sru.soc.surrey.ac.uk/
A resource for interviewing, focus groups, study design and analysis, and more.

Qualitative Data Analysis Software

Transana.....www.transana.org

Atlas.ti......www.atlasti.com/product.html
HyperRESEARCH......www.researchware.com/prod
ucts/hyperresearch.html
NVivo......www.qsrinternational.com/products_nvivo.aspx

References

Conquergood, D. (2002). Performance studies: Interventions and radical research. *TDR: The Drama Review*, 46(2), 145–156.

Geertz, C. (1973). The interpretation of cultures. New York, NY: Basic Books.

Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1967). The discovery of grounded theory: Strategies for qualitative research. Chicago, IL: Aldine.

Gold, R. L. (1958). Roles in sociological field observations. *Social Forces*, *36*(3), 217–223. DOI: 10.2307/2573808

Hamera, J. (2018, February 26). Performance studies in critical communication studies.

Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Communication [Online publication]. DOI: 10.1093/acrefore/9780190228613.013.640

- Houliez, C., & Gamble, E. (2012). Augmented focus groups: On leveraging the peculiarities of online virtual worlds when conducting in-world focus groups. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 7(2), 31–51. DOI: 10.4067/S0718–18762012000200005
- Hymes, D. (1974). Foundations in sociolinguistics: An ethnographic approach. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
- Kirsch, S. (2001). Ethnographic methods: Concepts and field techniques. In R. A. Krueger, M. A. Casey, J. Donner, S. Kirsch, & J. N. Maack (Eds.), *Social analysis: Selected tools and techniques* (pp. 50–61)/ Social Development Paper #36. Washington, DC: World Bank. Retrieved from www.worldbank.org/reference

- Kozinets, R. V. (2013). *Netnography: Doing ethnographic research online*. London: Sage.
- MacDonald, S. M. (2018) Sentinels by the sea: Keeping as an alternative tourism performance. *Text and Performance Quarterly, 38*, 1–2, 19–37. DOI: 10.1080/10462937.2018.1457172
- Nathan, R. (2005). *My freshman year: What a professor learned by becoming a student.* Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Schechner, R. (2013). *Performance studies: An introduction* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Spradley, J. P. (1979). *The ethnographic interview.* New York, NY: Holt, Rinehart & Winston.
- Xerri, R. C. (2005). *Gozitan crossings: The impact of migration and return migration on an island community.*Qala, Malta: A&M Printing.

\$SAGE edge™

Get the tools you need to sharpen your study skills. SAGE edge offers a robust online environment featuring an impressive array of free tools and resources.

Access quizzes, eFlashcards, video, and multimedia at edge.sagepub.com/treadwell4e.